

WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS REVIEW

Date of Submission: January 30, 2007

Proponent: Utah Wilderness Coalition (UWC) – (America's Red Rock Wilderness Proposal)

Name of Area to be Reviewed: **Stone Bridge Draw Area**

Date(s) of Field Office Review: February 5, 2007

BLM Field Office(s) Affected: Vernal Field Office

EVALUATION

1. Was new information submitted by a member of the public for this area?

a. YES: X NO:

2. If new information was submitted, describe the submission. For example, did the submission include a map that identifies the specific boundaries of the area(s) in question; a narrative that describes the wilderness characteristics of the area and documents how that information differs from the information previously gathered and reviewed in the BLM inventories; photographic documentation; etc?

a. The proponent submitted a map identifying the specific boundaries of the UWC *Stone Bridge Draw Proposed Wilderness Unit* as proposed in the bill, *America's Red Rock Wilderness Act*. This bill was first introduced in 1989. The *America's Red Rock Wilderness Act* bill was reintroduced into the 110th Congress as H.R.1919 in the U.S. House of Representatives, and S. 1170 in the U.S. Senate.

In 1989, UWC published the book *Wilderness at the Edge*, which provided information on the Split Mountain Benches.

On February 5, 2007, a Vernal Field Office interdisciplinary team reviewed UWC *Stone Bridge Draw Proposed Wilderness Unit* as proposed in the bill, *America's Red Rock Wilderness Act*; and, the published book *Wilderness at the Edge*. In addition, the interdisciplinary team reviewed changes to the area since 2002 that could affect the presence or absence of wilderness characteristics.

This maintenance review did not include U.S. National Forest lands, State of Utah lands, or private lands. Only lands within the BLM Vernal Field Office planning boundaries were considered by the interdisciplinary team. The attached map shows the BLM Vernal Field Office's determination of which lands contain or do not contain wilderness characteristics for the review area.

3. As a result of interdisciplinary review of relevant information (which may include aerial photographs, state and county road information, road maintenance agreements, prior documentation from the BLM inventories, field observations, maps, master title plats, evidence presented as new information by a proponent, etc.), do you conclude:

a. X The decision previously reached in the BLM inventories that the area lacks wilderness is still valid.

(or)

b. _____ Some or all of the area has wilderness characteristics as shown on the attached map.

4. Describe your findings regarding specific wilderness characteristics and provide detailed rationale.

a. **WIA Area.**

(1). **Description:** No WIA Area is present.

b. **Externally Nominated Area.**

(1). **Description:** The Stone Bridge Draw review area is located in Uintah County about 10 miles east-northeast of Vernal, Utah. There are 2,638 acres in the review area. The area is composed of two parcels separated by State of Utah lands. Parcel #1 is the westernmost parcel and is located in Sections 3-5, 8, and 9, T4S, R23E. The Island Park Road is the northern boundary of Parcel #1. State of Utah lands, the Dinosaur National Monument, and existing two-tracks form the rest of the parcel's boundary. Parcel #2 is located east of the prior parcel in Section 1, T4S, R23E; Sections 33 and 34, T3S, R24E; and, Sections 4-6, T4S, R24E. The Island Park Road, State of Utah lands and the Dinosaur National Monument form the boundaries. The terrain in the review area rises from Stone Cabin Draw southward toward Split Mountain. Numerous small drainages dissect the slopes. Maximum topographic relief within the area is over 400 feet.

Vegetation consists of dense, 10-foot tall juniper woodlands on the upper slopes. Other parts of the area are occupied by Wyoming sage, rubber rabbit-brush, and perennials such as Indian rice grass, needle and thread as well as annual grasses such as cheat grass.

There are no existing oil and gas leases within the area. No producing or plugged and abandoned wells are present in the area.

(2). **Appearance of Naturalness:** The primary access route for ATV's into Parcels #1 and #2 is the Island Park Road. Within both parcels, a significant increase in ATV usage has occurred. New routes have been created for the purposes of camping, antler collecting, exploring, and big game hunting. Most of these intrusive routes are through sandy soils. Some large (>1acre) non-designated camping sites are adjacent to these ATV routes. The surface vegetation has been denuded in many cases and many trees have limbs broken or cut off for camp fires and to enhance ATV access. More than 24 openings have been cut into the Dinosaur National Monument and range allotment fences along the southern boundary of the area for access into the monument. In 2006 alone, another four openings were cut.

The increased ATV usage has diminished the naturalness of the review area.

- (3). **Solitude, Primitive and Unconfined Recreation:** The accessibility to the area via the Island Park Road and the area's year-round use by ATVs has limited opportunities for solitude and primitive and unconfined recreation. The surface of the area is somewhat gentle and becomes flatter to the north. The opportunity for solitude and primitive and unconfined recreation rarely may be found in the review area.
 - (4). **Supplemental Values:** Hiking or horseback riding may provide a visitor solitude during periods that motorized equipment is not present.
 - (5). **Areas without wilderness characteristics:** The Vernal Field Office interdisciplinary team reviewed the Split Mountain Benches area on February 5, 2007. The team determined that Parcels #1 and #2 which comprise the Stone Bridge Draw area do not contain wilderness characteristics due to the substantially noticeable increase in ATV usage and group camping in the area that has diminished the appearance of naturalness.
- b. As protocol for all VFO wilderness characteristic reviews, the Interdisciplinary Team determined appropriate set-back distances for pipelines, roads, and other R-O-Ws.
 - c. The following table summarizes the Non-WSA lands in the review area that do or do not contain wilderness characteristics:

STONE BRIDGE DRAW AREA			
Type of Lands	Non WSA Lands with wilderness characteristics (acres)	Non WSA Lands without wilderness characteristics (acres)	Total Acres
UWC, Externally Nominated	0	3,638	3,638
WIA, BLM Identified	0	0	0
TOTAL ACRES	0	3,638	3,638

- 5. Document all information considered during the interdisciplinary team review (e.g. aerial photographs, state and county road information, road maintenance agreements, prior documentation from the BLM inventories, field observations, maps, master title plats, evidence presented as new information by a proponent, etc.)
 - August 2006 NAIP (National Agricultural Imagery Program) aerial photos.
 - Master Title Plats.
 - State of Utah DOGM (Division of Oil, Gas and Mining) approved, producing and plugged and abandoned oil and gas wells (current up to 1-25-07).
 - R-O-W using LR 2000.
 - Field Observations.
 - GIS layers for various resources including: Range improvements, Recreation facilities, Wildlife, and Fire including both Rx and fuels projects.
 - USGS digital topographic maps both 1:24,000 and 1:100,000.
 - Land status of the BLM.
 - The BLM road layer including roads on 1:24,000 scale and supplemented by both GPS and aerial photography.
 - Uintah County Roads layer August 2006.
 - UWC wilderness proposal data layer.

6. List the members of the interdisciplinary team and resource specialties represented.

<i>Chuck Patterson</i>	<i>Recreation</i>
<i>Kim Bartel</i>	<i>Recreation/wilderness</i>
<i>Tim Faircloth</i>	<i>Wildlife</i>
<i>Naomi Hatch</i>	<i>Realty</i>
<i>Jerry Kenczka</i>	<i>AFM Minerals</i>
<i>Howard Cleavinger</i>	<i>Associate Field Manager</i>
<i>Kyle Smith</i>	<i>GIS</i>
<i>Steve Knox</i>	<i>USO Planning Specialist</i>
<i>Kelly Buckner</i>	<i>NEPA</i>
<i>Mark Stavropoulos</i>	<i>Range</i>
<i>Blaine Phillips</i>	<i>Archeology</i>

7. Signature / Concurrence

This review by a Vernal Field Office interdisciplinary team was conducted in February 2007. The purpose of the review was to identify for planning purposes those areas that are not Wilderness Study Areas (WSA) but do contain wilderness characteristics. A supplement to the draft Vernal Land Use Plan will, in Alternative E, analyze the impact from and to the identified wilderness characteristics. Until the Land Use Plan is completed, it should be noted that as part of a project-specific or site-specific analysis within this area, these findings will be used to assess impacts, if any, to wilderness characteristics within the project area.

I concur with the findings of the interdisciplinary team as described in this review.

Name: *William Stinger* Date: *6/7/07*
Field Office Manager

This determination is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision-making process and does not constitute a decision that can be appealed.