

**VOLUME 1: MAIN TEXT**

**DEAR READER LETTER**

**ABSTRACT**

**DISCLAIMER**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... i**

**ACRONYMS ..... xiii**

**ENGLISH/METRIC AND METRIC/ENGLISH EQUIVALENTS ..... xvi**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... ES-1**

INTRODUCTION ..... ES-1

SCOPING ..... ES-1

LEAD, COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES ..... ES-3

GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION ..... ES-3

INTERAGENCY WIND ENERGY TASK TEAM (IWETT) ..... ES-3

THE APPLICANT ..... ES-3

PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION ..... ES-4

CONFORMANCE WITH EXISTING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN ..... ES-4

DECISIONS TO BE MADE ..... ES-4

PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES ..... ES-5

AMENDING THE EXISTING CASSIA RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN ..... ES-13

AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITION ..... ES-14

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES ..... ES-16

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ..... ES-29

**CHAPTER 1**

**1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED ..... 1-1**

1.1 THE APPLICANT ..... 1-5

1.2 PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION ..... 1-5

1.2.1 The Purpose of the Proposed Action ..... 1-5

1.2.2 The Need for the Proposed Action ..... 1-6

1.3 LEAD, COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES ..... 1-9

1.4 GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION ..... 1-10

1.5	INTERAGENCY WIND ENERGY TASK TEAM (IWETT) .....	1-10
1.5.1	Adaptive Management .....	1-11
1.5.2	Sage-Grouse.....	1-11
1.5.3	Cumulative Effects .....	1-11
1.6	CONFORMANCE WITH EXISTING LAND USE PLAN .....	1-11
1.7	SCOPING .....	1-12
1.7.1	Significant Issues Identified and Used to Develop Alternatives.....	1-12
1.7.2	Other Issues and Concerns Addressed.....	1-13
1.7.3	Why Cotterel Mountain and not Elsewhere?.....	1-14
1.7.4	Issues Deemed Outside the Scope of the Draft EIS.....	1-14
1.8	FEDERAL AND STATE AUTHORITIES AND ACTIONS .....	1-14
1.9	DECISIONS TO BE MADE .....	1-17
1.9.1	Bureau of Land Management .....	1-17
1.9.2	Bonneville Power Administration.....	1-17
1.9.3	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation .....	1-17
1.9.4	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.....	1-17
1.9.5	Idaho Department of Lands .....	1-17

## CHAPTER 2

<b>2.0</b>	<b>PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	PROPOSED ACTION AND RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1.1	Alternatives Considered and Eliminated from Detailed Study.....	2-2
2.2	ALTERNATIVE A (NO ACTION) .....	2-2
2.3	PROPOSED PROJECT FEATURES COMMON TO ALL ACTION ALTERNATIVES .....	2-3
2.3.1	General Features of the Wind Power Project.....	2-4
2.3.2	Construction.....	2-10
2.3.3	Public Access and Safety .....	2-21
2.3.4	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) .....	2-22
2.3.5	Reclamation .....	2-23
2.3.6	Decommissioning .....	2-23
2.3.7	Project Design and Best Management Practices (BMP).....	2-23
2.4	ALTERNATIVE B – PROPOSED ACTION.....	2-24
2.4.1	General Features of the Wind Power Project Under Alternative B .....	2-27
2.5	ALTERNATIVE C – PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	2-29
2.5.1	General Features of the Wind Power Project Under Alternative C .....	2-32
2.5.2	Public Access.....	2-35
2.5.3	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) .....	2-35
2.5.4	Required On-Site Monitoring, Effectiveness Monitoring, Adaptive Management and Compensatory (Off-Site) Mitigation .....	2-35
2.6	ALTERNATIVE D.....	2-41
2.6.1	General Features of the Wind Power Project Under Alternative D.....	2-45

2.6.2	Public Access and Safety .....	2-46
2.6.3	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) .....	2-46
2.6.4	Required On-Site Monitoring, Effectiveness Monitoring, Adaptive Management and Compensatory (Off-Site) Mitigation .....	2-46
2.7	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT NOT ANALYZED IN DETAIL .....	2-46
2.7.1	Alternative E .....	2-46
2.7.2	Alternative F .....	2-48
2.8	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES .....	2-50
2.9	AMENDING THE EXISTING CASSIA RMP .....	2-64
2.9.1	Purpose and Need to Amend the Existing Cassia RMP .....	2-64
2.9.2	Planning Process .....	2-65
2.9.3	Planning Issues and Criteria .....	2-66
2.9.4	Proposed Plan Amendment to the Existing Cassia RMP .....	2-66

### CHAPTER 3

<b>3.0</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.0.1	Critical Elements Not Affected or Present Within the Proposed Project Area .....	3-1
3.1	PHYSICAL RESOURCES .....	3-2
3.1.1	Climate and Air Quality .....	3-2
3.1.2	Geology .....	3-4
3.1.3	Soils .....	3-6
3.1.4	Water Resources .....	3-9
3.1.5	Noise .....	3-12
3.2	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES .....	3-13
3.2.1	Vegetation .....	3-13
3.2.2	Wildlife .....	3-21
3.2.3	Special Status Species, Including Endangered, Threatened, Candidate Sensitive and Watch List Species .....	3-41
3.3	HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	3-57
3.3.1	Natural and Cultural Setting .....	3-57
3.4	AMERICAN INDIAN CONCERNS .....	3-67
3.4.1	Treaty Rights .....	3-67
3.4.2	Trust Responsibility .....	3-68
3.4.3	Traditional Cultural Places and Use Areas .....	3-68
3.4.4	Sacred Sites and Uses .....	3-68
3.5	SOCIOECONOMICS .....	3-69
3.5.1	Existing Conditions .....	3-69
3.5.2	Regional Economy and Community .....	3-69
3.5.3	Population, Housing and Property Values .....	3-76
3.5.4	Housing and Property Values .....	3-79
3.5.5	Public Finance and Fiscal Conditions .....	3-82

3.5.6	Environmental Justice.....	3-84
3.6	LANDS AND REALTY.....	3-87
3.6.1	Land Status.....	3-90
3.6.2	Existing Land Use.....	3-90
3.6.3	Planned Land Use.....	3-91
3.6.4	Rights-of-Way.....	3-92
3.7	RECREATION.....	3-92
3.7.1	Recreation Opportunities.....	3-93
3.7.2	Hunting.....	3-93
3.7.3	Camping.....	3-94
3.7.4	Off-highway Vehicle Use.....	3-94
3.8	LIVESTOCK GRAZING.....	3-94
3.8.1	Livestock use of Grazing Allotments.....	3-95
3.8.2	Rangeland Conditions.....	3-97
3.8.3	Rangeland Improvements.....	3-97
3.8.4	Wildhorses.....	3-98
3.9	VISUAL RESOURCES.....	3-98
3.9.1	Visual Resource Management System.....	3-98
3.9.2	Visual Resource Inventory.....	3-98
3.9.3	Management Class Rating for the Cotterel Mountain Area.....	3-100
3.10	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	3-103
3.11	FIRE MANAGEMENT.....	3-103

## CHAPTER 4

<b>4.0</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS.....	4-1
4.2	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	4-2
4.3	PAST/PRESENT ACTIONS.....	4-2
4.4	FUTURE FORSEEABLE ACTIONS.....	4-2
4.5	PHYSICAL RESOURCES.....	4-2
4.5.1	Climate and Air Quality.....	4-2
4.5.2	Geology.....	4-3
4.5.3	Soils.....	4-4
4.5.4	Water Resources.....	4-6
4.5.5	Noise.....	4-7
4.6	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	4-10
4.6.1	Vegetation.....	4-10
4.6.2	Wildlife.....	4-14
4.6.3	Amphibians and Reptiles.....	4-18
4.6.4	Bat and Bird Fatalities from the Operations of the Proposed Wind Project.....	4-19
4.6.5	Special Status Wildlife Species.....	4-32

4.7	HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	4-41
4.7.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-42
4.7.2	Alternative B .....	4-42
4.7.3	Alternative C .....	4-44
4.7.4	Alternative D .....	4-44
4.8	AMERICAN INDIAN CONCERNS .....	4-45
4.8.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-45
4.8.2	Alternative B .....	4-45
4.8.3	Alternative C .....	4-45
4.8.4	Alternative D .....	4-45
4.9	SOCIOECONOMICS .....	4-45
4.9.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-45
4.9.2	Alternative B .....	4-46
4.9.3	Alternative C .....	4-52
4.9.4	Alternative D .....	4-53
4.10	LANDS AND REALTY .....	4-54
4.10.1	Land Status and Ownership.....	4-54
4.10.2	Land Use .....	4-54
4.10.3	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-54
4.10.4	Alternative B .....	4-54
4.10.5	Alternative C .....	4-55
4.10.6	Alternative D .....	4-55
4.11	RECREATION .....	4-55
4.11.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-55
4.11.2	Alternative B .....	4-55
4.11.3	Alternative C .....	4-57
4.11.4	Alternative D .....	4-57
4.12	LIVESTOCK GRAZING.....	4-58
4.12.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-58
4.12.2	Alternative B .....	4-58
4.12.3	Alternative C .....	4-59
4.12.4	Alternative D .....	4-59
4.13	VISUAL RESOURCES .....	4-60
4.13.1	Visual Resource Contrast Rating Method .....	4-60
4.13.2	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-63
4.13.3	Alternative B .....	4-63
4.13.4	Alternative C .....	4-67
4.13.5	Alternative D .....	4-69
4.13.6	Lighting and Dark-Sky Impacts .....	4-71
4.14	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	4-72
4.14.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-72
4.14.2	Alternative B .....	4-72

4.14.3	Alternative C.....	4-72
4.14.4	Alternative D .....	4-72
4.15	FIRE MANAGEMENT .....	4-73
4.15.1	Alternative A (No Action).....	4-73
4.15.2	Alternative B.....	4-73
4.15.3	Alternative C.....	4-74
4.15.4	Alternative D .....	4-75
4.16	EFFECTS OF ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT .....	4-76
4.17	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS (IMPACTS) .....	4-76
4.17.1	Regional Influences .....	4-77
4.17.2	Cumulative Impacts by Resource .....	4-88
4.18	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS .....	4-112
4.19	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES .....	4-112
 <b>CHAPTER 5</b>		
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	SPECIFIC CONSULTATION ACTIONS .....	5-1
5.1.1	Formal and Informal Government-to-Government Consultation with Tribes.....	5-1
5.1.2	Intergovernmental (State and Local) and Interest Group Coordination.....	5-2
5.1.3	Resource Advisory Council (RAC) .....	5-3
5.1.4	Cassia County Public Lands Committee.....	5-3
5.1.5	Congressional Staffs .....	5-3
5.1.6	Consultation with Federal Agencies .....	5-5
5.1.7	Interagency Wind Energy Task Team (IWETT) .....	5-6
5.1.8	Initial Public Scoping Mailing List.....	5-7
5.1.9	Public Scoping Meetings .....	5-7
5.2	DRAFT EIS COMMENTS AND RESPONSES .....	5-9
5.3	LIST OF PREPARERS .....	5-9
 <b>CHAPTER 6</b>		
<b>6.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.8-1	Federal and State Authorities and Actions for the Proposed Project .....	1-15
Table 2.3-1	Estimated Vehicle Trips for Construction of the Proposed Project.....	2-19
Table 2.3-2	Estimated Workforce for the Proposed Project.....	2-20
Table 2.4-1	Alternative B – Proposed Action Project Features.....	2-27
Table 2.4-2	Miles of Transmission Interconnect Line by Ownership for Alternative B .....	2-27
Table 2.5-1	Alternative C Project Features. ....	2-32
Table 2.5-2	Miles of Transmission Interconnect Line by Ownership for Alternative C .....	2-33
Table 2.6-1	Alternative D Project Features. ....	2-45
Table 2.8-1	Comparison of Project Features of the Action Alternatives.....	2-50
Table 2.8-2	Acreage of Land That Would Be Affected by Development of the Proposed Cotterel Wind Power Project.....	2-51
Table 2.8-3	Summary Comparison of Resource Impacts for All Alternatives.....	2-52
Table 3.1-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	3-3
Table 3.1-2	Impaired (303d designation) Waters Near the Proposed Project Area (IDEQ 2003).....	3-11
Table 3.1-3	Representative Noise Sources and Corresponding Noise Levels.....	3-12
Table 3.2-1	Vegetative Components within Each Community Type .....	3-15
Table 3.2-2	Acreage of Each Community Type Within Vegetation Survey Area .....	3-16
Table 3.2-3	Acres of Each Community Type Within the Proposed Project Area .....	3-16
Table 3.2-4	Idaho Department of Fish and Game Unit 55 Mule Deer Harvest Statistics 1998 to 2003 .....	3-25
Table 3.2-5	Avian Abundance During Yearlong Point Counts in the Cotterel Study Area.....	3-34
Table 3.2-6	Avian Use, Percent Composition and Percent Frequency of Occurrence by Groups with Species in the Cotterel Study Area During Avian Point Count Surveys.....	3-37
Table 3.2-7	Special Status Wildlife Species of Known or Potential Occurrence in the Proposed Project Area .....	3-42
Table 3.3-1	Chronological Subdivisions of Upper Snake River Prehistory .....	3-58
Table 3.3-2	NHRP Eligibility for Sites Within the Proposed Project Area.....	3-66
Table 3.5-1	Labor Force and Employment for Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho.....	3-71
Table 3.5-2	Industry Share of Employment, 2002 for Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho.....	3-73
Table 3.5-3	Projected Job Growth by Industry 2000-2010 for South Central Idaho Compared to the State of Idaho.....	3-74
Table 3.5-4	Annual Covered Wages and Percentage of Total Wages, 2002 (\$1,000s) for Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho. ....	3-76

Table 3.5-5	Population Trends in Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho .....	3-77
Table 3.5-6	Population Distribution in Cassia County .....	3-79
Table 3.5-7	Population Distribution in Minidoka County .....	3-79
Table 3.5-8	Housing Types and Characteristics, 2000 in Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho .....	3-80
Table 3.5-9	Median Housing Values in Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho in 2000.....	3-80
Table 3.5-10	Temporary Lodging Near the Proposed Project Area.....	3-82
Table 3.5-11	Cassia County Distribution of Property Tax Revenue from the 2002-2003 Adopted Budget.....	3-83
Table 3.5-12	Property Tax Rates in Tax Code Areas 16 and 17.....	3-84
Table 3.5-13	Minority Populations in the South Central Region of Idaho .....	3-86
Table 3.5-14	Populations Living Below Poverty Level, 1999 in the South Central Region of Idaho .....	3-87
Table 3.8-1	Current Grazing Permits in the Proposed Project Area .....	3-95
Table 3.8-2	Grazing Allotment Distribution on Cotterel Mountain.....	3-95
Table 3.9-1	Existing VRM Inventory Ratings for the Proposed Project Area .....	3-99
Table 3.11-1	Albion FMU Fire Management Priority Ranking.....	3-103
Table 4.5-1	Acres of Soil Disturbance Under Each Alternative .....	4-5
Table 4.6-1	Permanent and Temporary Impacts to Vegetation (in acres) from the Proposed Project .....	4-12
Table 4.6-2	Potential Mapped Big Game Habitat Loss from the Proposed Project .....	4-15
Table 4.6-3	Vertical Risk Indices by Avian Group and Turbine Type Based on Year-Long Point Counts .....	4-23
Table 4.6-4	Vertical Risk Indices by Avian Group and Turbine Type Based on Fall Migration Surveys .....	4-24
Table 4.6-5	Raptor Nesting Density Comparisons.....	4-26
Table 4.6-6	Estimated Annual Fatality Ranges, by Alternative, for Birds and Bats at the Proposed Project .....	4-31
Table 4.6-7	Potential Sage-grouse Habitat Loss from the Proposed Project .....	4-40
Table 4.9-1	Constructions Cost (\$1,000s) of the Proposed Project .....	4-46
Table 4.9-2	Construction Workforce for the Proposed Project.....	4-47
Table 4.9-3	Annual Cost of Operation and Maintenance (\$1,000s) of the Proposed Project ....	4-49
Table 4.13-1	Visual Resource Contrast Rating Criteria.....	4-62
Table 4.13-2	Visual Contrast Rating for the Proposed Project .....	4-62
Table 4.17-1	Land and Realty Actions (Projects) Located in the Region of Cotterel Mountain .	4-82

Table 5.1-1 Consultation with the Shoshone-Bannock and the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes ..... 5-2

Table 5.1-2 Consultation with State, County, and City Government ..... 5-4

Table 5.1-3 Consultation with Federal Agencies..... 5-5

Table 5.1-4 Interagency Wind Energy Task Team Consultation ..... 5-6

Table 5.1-5 Agencies, Groups, and Individuals Who Responded During the Scoping Process... 5-8

Table 5.3-1 Personnel Contacted or Consulted for the Cotterel Wind Power Project..... 5-9

Table 5.3-2 List of Preparers and Participants for the Cotterel Wind Power Project ..... 5-11

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.0-1	Overview of Project Area .....	1-2
Figure 1.0-2	Estimated Wind Speed for Cotterel Mountain Area .....	1-3
Figure 1.2-1	Southern Idaho Utility Districts .....	1-7
Figure 1.2-2	Electrical Transmission Grid of Southern Idaho.....	1-8
Figure 1.2-3	Comparison of Predictable Fuel Availability of Wind and Hydro Electrical Generation .....	1-9
Figure 2.1-1	Project Vicinity Map.....	2-2
Figure 2.3-1	Diagram of a Typical Wind Turbine.....	2-5
Figure 2.3-2	Project Overview .....	2-7
Figure 2.3-3	Typical Wooden H-Frame Transmission Interconnect Line Support Structure .....	2-9
Figure 2.3-4	Typical Cross Section for Project Access Roads .....	2-12
Figure 2.3-5	Typical Cross Section for Project Turbine String Roads .....	2-12
Figure 2.3-6	Typical Turbine Pad Lay-Down and Construction Area .....	2-14
Figure 2.3-7	Detonation Sequence for Tower Foundation Blasting.....	2-15
Figure 2.3-8	Excavation of Tower Foundation Hole Following Blasting .....	2-15
Figure 2.3-9	Two Steel Conduit Foundation Forms .....	2-15
Figure 2.3-10	Bolt Structure for Tower Foundation.....	2-15
Figure 2.3-11	Foundation Bolts Ready for Concrete Pour .....	2-16
Figure 2.4-1	Alternative B, 130 70m Rotor Diameter Turbines.....	2-26
Figure 2.5-1	Alternative C, 81 100m Rotor Diameter Turbines.....	2-30
Figure 2.5-2	Alternative C, 98 77m Rotor Diameter Turbines.....	2-31
Figure 2.5-3	Public Access Plan for Alternative C.....	2-36
Figure 2.6-1	Alternative D, 66 100m Rotor Diameter Turbines .....	2-43
Figure 2.6-2	Alternative D, 82 77m Rotor Diameter Turbines .....	2-44
Figure 2.7-1	Alternative E, 49 100m Rotor Diameter Turbines.....	2-47
Figure 2.7-2	Alternative F, 20 100m Rotor Diameter Turbines .....	2-49
Figure 3.1-1	Soil Groups in Project Area .....	3-7
Figure 3.1-2	Springs in the Project Area and Vicinity .....	3-10
Figure 3.2-1	Vegetation Communities .....	3-14
Figure 3.2-2	Big Game Habitat .....	3-24
Figure 3.2-3	Avian Survey Plot Locations .....	3-32
Figure 3.2-4	Avian Use by Point Count Station.....	3-33
Figure 3.2-5	Fall Migration Survey Plot Locations.....	3-36
Figure 3.2-6	Mean Daily Raptor Use During Fall Migration .....	3-38
Figure 3.2-7	Active Raptor Nests .....	3-40
Figure 3.2-8	Sage Grouse Habitat .....	3-49
Figure 3.2-9	Sage Grouse Leks .....	3-51

Figure 3.2-10.	Comparison of Cotterel Mountain Lek Census trends and Available Active Cassia County Lek Census data (from IDF&G), 2003-2005 (Reynolds and Hinckley 2005).....	3-52
Figure 3.3-1	Historic Trails.....	3-63
Figure 3.5-1	Labor Force and Employment Trends for Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho.....	3-72
Figure 3.5-2	Annual Average Rates of Population Growth in Cassia County, Minidoka County and the State of Idaho.....	3-77
Figure 3.6-1	Existing Land Ownership.....	3-88
Figure 3.6-2	Management Area 11 of the Cassia RMP .....	3-89
Figure 3.9-1	Scenic Quality Units with Rating and Class.....	3-101
Figure 3.9-2	Existing Visual Resource Management (VRM) Classes .....	3-102
Figure 4-13.1	Key Observation Points.....	4-61
Figure 4-17.1	Idaho Sage-grouse Planning Areas and Wind Energy Sites.....	4-78

## **VOLUME 2: APPENDICES**

### **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A	NOA and NOI Published In Federal Register
Appendix B	Instruction Memorandum 2003-20 from the Interim Wind Energy Development Policy
Appendix C	BLM Best Management Practices
Appendix D	BLM Management Practices Specific to Wildlife
Appendix E	BLM Interim Offsite Compensatory Mitigation for Oil, Gas, Geothermal and Energy Rights-of-Way Authorizations
Appendix F	Applicant Commitment Letter for Cooperative Agreement
Appendix G	Visual Simulations
Appendix H	Comments and Responses

**ACRONYMS**

A.D.	After Death
APE	Area of Potential Effects
AUM	Animal unit months
BA	Biological Assessment
B.C.	Before Christ
BFO	Burley Field Office
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practices
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
CAFO	Confined Animal Feeding Operation
CDC	Conservation Data Center
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
Commission	Shoshone-Bannock Land Use Policy Commission
Council	Tribal Business Council
dB	Decibels
dBA	A-weighted decibels
DOE	Department of Energy
EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FCRTS	Federal Columbia River Transmission System
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FM	Fuel model
FMU	Fire Management Unit
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FRCC	Fire Regime Condition Class
FS	Forest Service
GIBA	Globally Important Bird Area
HETO	Heritage Tribal Office
I-84	Interstate 84
I-86	Interstate 86

**ACRONYMS**

I-90	Interstate 90
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Rules
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
IDL	Idaho Department of Lands
IDT	Interdisciplinary Team
IDOL	Idaho Department of Labor
IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources
IPC	Idaho Power, an IdaCorp Company
IPUC	Idaho Public Utilities Commission
IWETT	Interagency Wind Energy Task Team
ISRH	Idaho Standards for Rangeland Health
ITC	Idaho State Tax Commission
KOP	Key observation point
kV	Kilovolt
kW	Kilowatt
LLC	Limited Liability Corporation
Mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Milligrams per cubic meter
mi <sup>2</sup>	Square miles
MW	Megawatts
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous Oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NASS	National Agricultural Statistics Service
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NEPDG	National Energy Policy Development Group
NOA	Notice of Availability
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide
NO <sub>x</sub>	Oxides of nitrogen
NP	Not Present
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NTP	Notice to Proceed
NWCC	National Wind Coordinating Committee
NWPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council
O <sub>3</sub>	Ozone
O&M	Operations and maintenance
OHV	Off-highway vehicle
Pb	Lead
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns

**ACRONYMS**

Proposed Project	Proposed Cotterel Wind Power Project
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
RAC	Resource Advisory Council
RFP	Request for Proposal
RMP	Resource Management Plan
ROS	Recreational Opportunities Spectrum
ROW	Rights-of-Way
RQD	Rock Quality Designation
RSA	Rotor-swept area
SCI	South Central Idaho
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SH	State Highway
SIEDO	Southern Idaho Economic Development Organization
SL&I	Salt Lake & Idaho Railroad Company Grade
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulfur Dioxide
SO <sub>x</sub>	Oxides of sulfur
SQRU	Scenic Quality Rating Units
SRMA	Special Resource Management Areas
SWEI	Shell WindEnergy, Inc.
TES	Threatened, endangered and sensitive
µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Micrograms per cubic meter
URS	URS Group, Inc.
U.S.	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USDI	United States Department of Interior
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic compound
VRM	Visual Resource Management
Windland	Windland, Incorporated

**ENGLISH/METRIC AND METRIC/ENGLISH EQUIVALENTS**

The following table lists the appropriate equivalents for English and metric units.

<b>MULTIPLY</b>	<b>BY</b>	<b>TO OBTAIN</b>
<b>English/Metric Equivalents</b>		
Acres	0.4047	Hectares (ha)
Cubic feet (ft <sup>3</sup> )	0.02832	Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )
Cubic yards (yd <sup>3</sup> )	0.7646	Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )
Degrees Fahrenheit (°F) –32	0.5555	Degrees Celsius (°C)
Feet (ft)	0.3048	Meters (m)
Gallons (gal)	3.785	Liters (L)
Gallons (gal)	0.003785	Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )
Inches (in.)	2.540	Centimeters (cm)
Miles (mi)	1.609	Kilometers (km)
Pounds (lb)	0.4536	Kilograms (kg)
Short tons (tons)	907.2	Metric tons (t)
Square feet (ft <sup>2</sup> )	0.09290	Square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )
Square yards (yd <sup>2</sup> )	0.8361	Square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )
Square miles (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2.590	Square kilometers (km <sup>2</sup> )
Yards (yd)	0.9144	Meters (m)
<b>Metric/English Equivalents</b>		
Centimeters (cm)	0.3937	Inches (in.)
Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	35.31	Cubic feet (ft <sup>3</sup> )
Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	1.308	Cubic yards (yd <sup>3</sup> )
Cubic meters (m <sup>3</sup> )	264.2	Gallons (gal)
Degrees Celsius (°C)	1.8	Degrees Fahrenheit (°F) –32
Hectares (ha)	2.471	Acres
Kilograms (kg)	2.205	Pounds (lb)
Kilograms (kg)	0.001102	Short tons (tons)
Kilometers (km)	0.6214	Miles (mi)
Liters (L)	0.2642	Gallons (gal)
Meters (m)	3.281	Feet (ft)
Meters (m)	1.094	Yards (yd)
Metric tons (t)	1.102	Short tons (tons)
Square kilometers (km <sup>2</sup> )	0.3861	Square miles (mi <sup>2</sup> )
Square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	10.76	Square feet (ft <sup>2</sup> )
Square meters (m <sup>2</sup> )	1.196	Square yards (yd <sup>2</sup> )