

LANDS

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN - STEP 1
ACTIVITY OBJECTIVES

Name (MFP)

Big Lost

Activity

Lands

Objective Number

1

OBJECTIVES

1. Consider county's future needs for public purpose or recreation facilities.
2. Develop an activity plan for retention and disposal of public lands prior to revocation of the C&MU. (Act of 1964)
3. Transfer isolated tracts with low public values out of public ownership to eliminate ineffective BLM management of such tracts.
4. Legalize unauthorized uses (R/W's) on public land for user protection and updating BLM's land records for management purposes.

RATIONALE

1. BLM should assist counties in identifying public lands to fulfill their needs for public purpose. These additional lands are needed as communities expand for sanitary landfills, parks, etc.
2. With the revocation of the Classification and Multiple Use Act, BLM needs to identify which lands are suitable for disposal and which lands should be retained for multiple use management.
3. Isolated tracts can present management problems and encourage agricultural trespasses and other illegal uses of the land.
4. There are many ditches and canals, as well as powerlines which were constructed on public land, prior to FLPMA. Even though they will not be considered a trespass, they should be legalized by R/W's to bring BLM records up to date and give the user protection.

Klingenberg 8/82

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)

Big Lost

Activity

Lands L-1

Overlay Reference

Step 1

Step 3

Decision

Make land available for lease as a sanitary landfill for Butte County and assist in locating suitable landfill sites. Complete by FY 1987.

Analysis

One solid waste site has been developed in the Planning Unit (R&PP Lease I-2782 to Butte County). Although the permit does not expire until 1991, the area has been completely used and Butte County is pursuing a new site for a sanitary landfill. The County filed an application (I-14333) in 1978 and a proposed decision was issued to allow it. There were several protests to the decision and consequently Butte County asked that the application be put on hold.

Until Butte County can find another site or decide to proceed with the "pending" one, people from Moore will be required to haul garbage to the site (on county land) located in Arco. I-2782 is being closed and rehabilitated.

We will need to assist the County in locating a suitable site and have them relinquish their current application or proceed with the proposed site. At this time we are waiting for a decision from the County on how they wish to proceed.

Alternatives Under Consideration

1. Location of a new landfill
2. Expansion of Moore landfill

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Lands L-2	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1	Step 3 .41A

Decision

Revoke the Multiple Use Classification (Act of 1964) in its entirety on the public lands within the planning unit.

Analysis

The C&MU Act provided for protection of public lands for multiple uses. FLPMA (Act of 1976) now provides a vehicle for this protection by making disposal of public lands discretionary (only if in national interest.) An Activity Plan will be developed to designate which lands would be retained for multiple use, as well as those lands which should be considered for disposal.

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Klingenberg 8/82

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	Big Lost	
Activity	Lands L-3	
Overlay Reference	.41	.41A
Step 1	Step 3	.41B

Decision

Approve desert land application and dispose of lands under development in areas where it can be shown that the lands are capable of long-term crop production based on the following criteria:

- Class I, II or III soils (Soil Conservation Service)
- availability of water
- economic feasibility
- disposal would not impose unacceptable consequences on other resource uses and values.

Applications involving lands already classified suitable for disposal under the Desert Land Act will be processed first. The remaining applications should be processed in chronological order (by case number) beginning in FY 1983.

Lands under unauthorized agricultural development which do not meet conditions for long-term crop production should be rehabilitated.

Analysis

Even though a tract of land may have soils which would support agriculture, there may be restrictions on the land making it unsuitable. These restrictions could involve water availability (depth, cost of pumping, terrain, etc.) other land uses, environmental concerns and economic feasibility. For this reason field examinations are conducted prior to issuing a classification decision. After the field examination, depending on the findings, a decision is issued classifying the land as suitable or unsuitable for disposal under the Desert Land Act.

Land which are under unauthorized agricultural development are usually intermingled with private lands which are in agricultural production and making management for BLM difficult. Disposal of the lands would simplify management of other public lands and reduce administrative costs.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Lands L-4	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1	Step 3 .41

Decision

Transfer isolated tracts, which are difficult for BLM to manage, out of public ownership by:

1. Sale - competitive bid to bring highest value for the land.
2. Providing to counties or cities for R&PP sites.
3. Processing pending disposal - type actions (DLE).
4. Exchange - when in best national interest.

This should be accomplished by FY-1992 (Refer to MFP Lands Overlay). Only those lands where disposal would not impose unacceptable consequences on other resource uses and values would be considered.

Analysis

Isolated tracts can present management problems and encourage unauthorized agricultural development, indiscriminate garbage dumping and other illegal uses of the land. BLM's efforts should be directed to the lands which can be managed effectively rather than trying to resolve unauthorized use which can result on these lands.

Potential transfer areas are as follows (following page).

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) *Management Framework Plan - Step 1* in the MFP narrative.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)
Big Lost

Activity
Lands L-4

Overlay Reference

Step 1 Step 3

Butte County and Custer County

B. T. 2 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 3 NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$	40 acres
B. T. 3 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 9 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 27 NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$	80 acres 40 acres
B. T. 3 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 4 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 15 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 29 N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 30 N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 31 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	120 acres 80 acres 80 acres 80 acres 120 acres
B. T. 3 N., R. 26 E., Sec. 10 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$	80 acres
T. 4 N., R. 24 E. B. Sec. 17 E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ B. Sec. 20 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ C. Sec. 6 W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ C. Sec. 7 SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ C. Sec. 18 W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$	120 acres 40 acres 160 acres 40 acres 80 acres
B. T. 4 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 27 NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$	280 acres
B. T. 4 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 35 E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$	80 acres
B. T. 5 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 6 W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	200 acres
C. T. 6 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 3 W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	20 acres
B. T. 6 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 1 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$	80 acres
B. T. 6 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 33 SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$	40 acres

C - Custer County

B - Butte County

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Klingenberg 8/82

Form 1600-21 (April 1975)

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) *Management Framework Plan - Step 1* in the MFP narrative.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)

Big Lost

Activity

Lands L-4

Overlay Reference

Step 1

Step 3

Butte County and Custer County

B.	T. 2 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 3 NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$	40 acres	
B.	T. 3 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 9 SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 27 NE $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$	80 acres 40 acres	
B.	T. 3 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 4 SE $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 15 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 29 N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 30 N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 31 SW $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	120 acres 80 acres 80 acres 80 acres 120 acres	
B.	T. 3 N., R. 26 E., Sec. 10 SW $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$, SE $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$	80 acres	
	T. 4 N., R. 24 E.		
✓ B.	Sec. 17 E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	120 acres	- 12/6/83 + 1/5/85 (0)
✓ B.	Sec. 20 SW $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$	40 acres	" " " "
✓ C.	Sec. 6 W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$	160 acres	
✓ C.	Sec. 7 SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	40 acres	- 12/6/83 with ac 1/5/85
C.	Sec. 18 W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$	80 acres	
B.	T. 4 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 27 NW $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$	280 acres	
B.	T. 4 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 35 E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$	80 acres	
B.	T. 5 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 6 W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$, NE $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	200 acres	
✓ C.	T. 6 N., R. 24 E. Sec. 3 W $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	20 acres	Sold 12/6/83
B.	T. 6 N., R. 25 E. Sec. 1 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$	80 acres	
B.	T. 6 N., R. 26 E. Sec. 33 SE $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$	40 acres	

C - Custer County

B - Butte County

Note: Attach additional sheets, if needed

(Instructions on reverse)

Klingenberg 8/82

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Resort LOST	
Activity	
Lands L-5	
Overlay Reference	
Step 1	Step 3

Decision

Legalize those unauthorized rights-of-way facilities where the impact of such facilities does not impose unacceptable consequences to other resource uses and values.

Analysis

An applicant filing for an unauthorized right-of-way that existed on public land prior to October 21, 1976 is not required to reimburse the United States for the processing monitoring or rental fees for the period of unauthorized use if they file prior to July 31, 1984. By encouraging counties to legalize unauthorized roads as well as other users of unauthorized R/W's to file, they would be protected should the public lands leave federal ownership.

This would also provide rental to the United States for most of the right-of-ways which were unauthorized in the past. (Except State or local government where R/W's serve the general public).

*Cherry road along Antelope authorized to
County
Hone Witch legalized by R/W
County road between sales parcels
authorized - T. 4N, R. 24E. S-19998 S-19999
BLM given R/W reservation on
Big Southern Butte*

4 N 24

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) *Management Framework Plan - Step 1* in the MFP narrative.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK PLAN
RECOMMENDATION-ANALYSIS-DECISION

Name (MFP)	
Big Lost	
Activity	
Lands L-6	
Overlay Reference	.46A
Step 1	Step 3 .46B

Decision

Retain in federal ownership all critical antelope, elk, mule deer, and sage grouse ranges as shown on wildlife overlays 1 and 2. Retain in federal ownership all riparian areas and permanent water sources unless disposal would not violate Executive Orders 11988 (Flood Plan Management) and 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) as interpreted in IM 83-602 (Wetland Flood Plain, and Endangered Species Consideration in Planning for Land Disposal Actions).

Analysis

Critical ranges and permanent water sources and riparian areas should be retained to ensure habitat requirements are maintained. Isolated tracts west of Arco are of particular concern due to antelope, deer and sage grouse values associated with this area and the extensive farming occurring there. Asset management lands disposal may conflict with wildlife habitat management.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Prepare a separate form for each Activity Recommendation.
2. Code each recommendation to the specific objective for which it was prepared; i.e., Wildlife objective 1, Recommendation 3 would be W/L 1.3; Lands objective 4, Recommendation 2 would be L 4.2 etc.
3. Entries are made as described in BLM Manual Sections 1608.3 and 1608.4. See BLM Manual section 1608, Illustration 2 for a sample format of the headings and additional instructions.
4. Use additional sheets for each recommendation as necessary.
5. File recommendation sheets behind the sheet for the objective they are supporting (Form 1600-20) *Management Framework Plan - Step 1* in the MFP narrative.