

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEAR READER LETTER

ABSTRACT

DISCLAIMER

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
--------------------------------	---

ACRONYMS	ix
-----------------------	----

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION	ES-1
---------------------------	------

SCOPING	ES-2
----------------------	------

Significant Issues Identified through Scoping and Used to Develop Alternatives ..	ES-2
---	------

LEAD, COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES	ES-3
---	------

GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION.....	ES-3
---	------

THE APPLICANT	ES-3
----------------------------	------

PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION	ES-4
--	------

CONFORMANCE WITH EXISTING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN	ES-4
---	------

DECISIONS TO BE MADE	ES-5
-----------------------------------	------

Bureau of Land Management (Lead Agency)	ES-5
---	------

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (Cooperating Agency)	ES-5
---	------

National Marine Fisheries Service (Cooperating Agency).....	ES-5
---	------

PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	ES-6
---	------

Alternative A (No Action)	ES-6
---------------------------------	------

Alternative B (Continuation of the Interim Mining Plan)	ES-7
---	------

Alternative C (Preferred Alternative from the 2004 EA)	ES-8
--	------

Alternative D (Proposed Action and BLM Preferred Alternative).....	ES-10
--	-------

Project Features Common to All Action Alternatives	ES-12
--	-------

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND ELIMINATED FROM

DETAILED STUDY	ES-15
-----------------------------	-------

Applicant's 1992 Plan of Operations.....	ES-16
--	-------

Applicant's Proposed Action from 2004 EA	ES-16
--	-------

Complete Backfill of Pit 1	ES-16
----------------------------------	-------

Mining Deeper in Pit 1	ES-16
------------------------------	-------

AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT/EXISTING CONDITION	ES-17
--	-------

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	ES-22
---	-------

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	ES-34
---------------------------------	-------

CHAPTER 1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	BACKGROUND	1-1
1.2	THE APPLICANT	1-3
1.3	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	1-3
1.3.1	The Purpose of the Proposed Action	1-3
1.3.2	The Need for the Proposed Action.....	1-4
1.4	LEAD, COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES	1-4
1.5	GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION.....	1-5
1.6	CONFORMANCE WITH EXISTING LAND USE PLAN	1-5
1.7	SCOPING	1-5
1.7.1	Relevant Issues Identified and Used to Develop Alternatives.....	1-6
1.7.2	Other Issues and Concerns.....	1-8
1.7.3	Issues Deemed Outside the Scope of the EIS	1-8
1.8	FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS	1-8
1.9	DECISIONS TO BE MADE	1-12
1.9.1	Bureau of Land Management.....	1-12

CHAPTER 2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

2.1	HISTORICAL AND CURRENT OPERATIONS.....	2-1
2.2	PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	2-5
2.2.1	Alternatives Considered and Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-5
2.3	ALTERNATIVE A (NO ACTION)	2-6
2.3.1	Reclamation	2-7
2.4	PROPOSED PROJECT FEATURES COMMON TO ALL ACTION ALTERNATIVES (ALTERNATIVES B – D)	2-10
2.4.1	Administration and Staging Area.....	2-10
2.4.2.	Transmission Line.....	2-10
2.4.3	Fuel and Lubricant Storage.....	2-11
2.4.4	Explosives Storage Areas	2-11
2.4.5	Drilling and Blasting.....	2-12
2.4.6	Rock Handling	2-14
2.4.7	Pit 1 and Pit 2 Waste Rock Storage Area	2-15
2.4.8	Topsoil Salvage and Storage.....	2-15
2.4.9	Quarry Access Roads.....	2-15
2.4.10	Equipment and Vehicle Maintenance	2-15
2.4.11	Public Access	2-16
2.4.12	Mineral Material Disposal	2-16
2.4.13	Sanitation	2-17

2.4.14	Best Management Practices	2-17
2.4.15	Chemical Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Plan	2-18
2.5	ALTERNATIVE B	2-19
2.5.1	Pits.....	2-21
2.5.2	Mining Sequence	2-21
2.5.3	Work Schedule, Personnel and Mine Production	2-22
2.5.4	Traffic	2-22
2.5.5	Water Consumption	2-24
2.5.6	Sediment and Erosion Control and Stormwater Management.....	2-24
2.5.7	Reclamation	2-24
2.6	ALTERNATIVE C	2-24
2.6.1	Pits.....	2-25
2.6.2	Mining Sequence	2-27
2.6.3	Work Schedule, Personnel and Mine Production	2-27
2.6.4	Traffic	2-27
2.6.5	Exploration Activity.....	2-28
2.6.6	Water Consumption	2-28
2.6.7	Sediment and Erosion Control and Stormwater Management.....	2-29
2.6.8	Reclamation	2-29
2.7	ALTERNATIVE D (BLM PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE)	2-29
2.7.1	Pits.....	2-31
2.7.2	Mining Sequence	2-33
2.7.3	Work Schedule, Personnel and Mine Production	2-34
2.7.4	Traffic	2-34
2.7.5	Exploration Activity.....	2-35
2.7.6	Water Consumption	2-35
2.7.7	Sediment and Erosion Control and Stormwater Management.....	2-35
2.7.8	Reclamation	2-36
2.8	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	2-36
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	
3.0.1	Critical Elements Not Affected or Present Within the Proposed Project Area.....	3-1
3.1	PHYSICAL RESOURCES	3-2
3.1.1	Air Quality	3-2
3.1.2	Geology.....	3-4
3.1.3	Minerals (Leaseable, Locatable, Saleable)	3-14
3.1.4	Soils.....	3-14
3.1.5	Hazardous Substances and Petroleum Products	3-16

3.1.6	Water Quality.....	3-16
3.1.7	Noise	3-19
3.2	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3-27
3.2.1	Vegetation.....	3-27
3.2.2	Special Status Plant Species.....	3-30
3.2.3	Fish and Wildlife.....	3-31
3.2.4	Special Status Fish and Wildlife Species.....	3-38
3.2.5	Wild Horses and Burros.....	3-48
3.3	OTHER RESOURCES	3-49
3.3.1	Cultural Resources	3-49
3.3.2	Tribal Treaty Reserved Rights and Interests.....	3-50
3.3.3	Social and Economic Conditions.....	3-51
3.3.4	Visual Resources.....	3-56
3.3.5	Transportation, Access and Public Safety	3-70
3.3.6	Land Uses and Private Property.....	3-73
3.3.7	Recreation	3-74
3.3.8	Livestock Grazing.....	3-77
3.3.9	Special Designations.....	3-77
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1	DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS	4-2
4.2	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	4-2
4.3	PAST/PRESENT ACTIONS	4-2
4.4	FUTURE FORESEEABLE ACTIONS	4-2
4.5	PHYSICAL RESOURCES	4-3
4.5.1	Air Quality	4-3
4.5.2	Geology and Minerals (Leaseable, Locatable, Saleable).....	4-5
4.5.3	Soils.....	4-7
4.5.4	Hazardous Substances and Petroleum Products	4-9
4.5.5	Water Quality.....	4-11
4.5.6	Noise	4-15
4.6	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4-29
4.6.1	Vegetation.....	4-29
4.6.2	Special Status Plant Species.....	4-31
4.6.3	Fish and Wildlife.....	4-32
4.6.4	Special Status Fish and Wildlife Species.....	4-42
4.6.5	Wild Horses and Burros.....	4-53

4.7	OTHER RESOURCES	4-54
4.7.1	Cultural Resources	4-54
4.7.2	Tribal Treaty Reserved Rights and Interests.....	4-55
4.7.3	Social and Economic Conditions.....	4-56
4.7.4	Visual Resources.....	4-68
4.7.5	Transportation, Access and Public Safety	4-83
4.7.6	Land Uses and Private Property.....	4-85
4.7.7	Recreation	4-86
4.7.8	Livestock Grazing.....	4-88
4.7.9	Special Designations.....	4-89
4.8	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS (IMPACTS)	4-92
4.8.1	Regional Influences	4-93
4.8.2	Cumulative Impacts by Resource	4-104
4.9	UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS	4-120
4.10	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	4-120
5.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	
5.1	SPECIFIC CONSULTATION ACTIONS	5-1
5.1.1	Formal and Informal Government-to-Government Consultation with Tribes	5-1
5.1.2	Intergovernmental (State and Local) and Interest Group Coordination	5-2
5.1.3	Resource Advisory Council	5-2
5.1.4	Congressional Staffs	5-3
5.1.5	Consultation with Federal Agencies	5-3
5.1.6	Public Scoping Meetings	5-4
5.2	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	5-5
6.0	REFERENCES	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS Published in the Federal Register
Appendix B	Best Management Practices
Appendix C	Visual Simulations – Alternative D

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1.	Comparison of Project Features of the Action Alternatives	ES-15
Table ES-2.	Summary Comparison of Resource Impacts for All Alternatives	ES-23
Table 1.8-1.	Federal and State Authorities and Actions for the Proposed Project.....	1-11
Table 2.5-1.	Alternative B Operational Features	2-21
Table 2.6-1.	Alternative C Operational Features	2-25
Table 2.7-1.	Alternative D Operational Features	2-31
Table 2.8-1.	Comparison of Project Features of the Action Alternatives	2-36
Table 2.8-2.	Summary Comparison of Resource Impacts for All Alternatives	2-37
Table 3.1-1.	Average Monthly Particulate Matter (PM 2.5), Salmon, Idaho, October 2004 – September 2005.....	3-3
Table 3.1-2.	Summary of Laboratory Analytical Data from the Three Rivers Stone Quarry	3-13
Table 3.1-3.	Sound Levels of Typical Noise Sources and Noise Environments.....	3-21
Table 3.1-4.	Sound Level Data Before and During Blast	3-27
Table 3.2-1.	Existing Vegetation at the Three Rivers Stone Quarry.....	3-28
Table 3.2-2.	Special Status Plant Species Potentially Occurring Within or In the Vicinity of the Project Area	3-30
Table 3.2-3.	Total Mule Deer Harvest in Hunt Unit 36A (any weapon) Between 2000 and 2005.....	3-32
Table 3.2-4.	Total Elk Harvest in Hunt Unit 36A (any weapon) Between 2000 and 2005.....	3-34
Table 3.2-5.	Special Status Fish and Wildlife Species Potentially Occurring Within or In the Vicinity of the Project Area	3-39
Table 3.3-1.	Cultural Resources Previously Recorded in the Project Area Vicinity	3-50
Table 3.3-2.	Challis Area Employment.....	3-52
Table 3.3-3.	Wage Rates at the Three Rivers Stone Quarry	3-53
Table 3.3-4.	Challis Area Economy and Economic Base	3-54
Table 3.3-5.	Community Services in the Challis Area.....	3-55
Table 4.5-1.	Surface Disturbance in the Project Area by Action Alternative	4-7
Table 4.5-2.	Distances between Quarry Activity Centers (Sound Receiver Locations) and Selected Sound Receptors (feet)	4-21
Table 4.5-3.	Quarry Noise Impact Prediction	4-23
Table 4.5-4.	Chronic Noise Impact Prediction on Wildlife	4-25

Table 4.6-1.	Ground Disturbance in the Project Area by Alternative	4-30
Table 4.7-1.	Direct Economic Effects of the Three Rivers Stone Quarry by Alternative.....	4-57
Table 4.7-2.	Employment Impacts to the Challis Area Under Alternative A	4-59
Table 4.7-3.	Employment and Economic Impacts to the Challis Area Under Alternative B	4-61
Table 4.7-4.	Employment and Economic Impacts to the Challis Area Under Alternative C	4-62
Table 4.7-5.	Employment and Economic Impacts to the Challis Area Under Alternative D.....	4-63
Table 4.7-6.	Demographics for Custer County in Comparison to Idaho State Average (%)	4-68
Table 4.7-7.	Poverty Levels for Custer County in Comparison to Idaho Average	4-68
Table 4.8-1	Land and Realty Actions (Projects) Located in the Vicinity of the Three Rivers Stone Quarry	4-98
Table 5.1-1.	Consultation with the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes.....	5-2
Table 5.1-2.	Agencies, Groups and Individuals Who Responded During the Scoping Process	5-5
Table 5.2-1.	Personnel Contacted or Consulted for the Three Rivers Stone Quarry Expansion Project.....	5-5
Table 5.2-2.	List of Preparers and Participants for the Three Rivers Stone Quarry Expansion Project.....	5-6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1-1.	Location Map	1-2
Figure 2.1-1.	Alternative A, Existing Conditions	2-3
Figure 2.3-1.	Reclamation Measures	2-9
Figure 2.5-1.	Alternative B	2-20
Figure 2.5-2.	Truck Transportation of Rock.....	2-23
Figure 2.6-1.	Alternative C	2-26
Figure 2.7-1.	Alternative D.....	2-30
Figure 2.7-2.	Pit 2 – Expansion Cross Section Looking North	2-32
Figure 2.7-3.	Pit 3 – Cross Section Looking North	2-33
Figure 3.1-1.	Flagstone Argillite, Shale, and Quartzite Exposure in Pit 1	3-9
Figure 3.1-2.	Flagstone Argillite in Pit 1	3-10
Figure 3.1-3.	Flagstone Argillite with Ripple Formations in Pit 1	3-10

Figure 3.1-4.	Soils Map	3-15
Figure 3.1-5.	Hydrology	3-17
Figure 3.1-6.	Noise Monitoring Locations	3-24
Figure 3.1-7.	ML1: Long-Term Measurement	3-25
Figure 3.1-8.	ML2: Long-Term Measurement	3-25
Figure 3.1-9.	Comparison of Sound Levels Before and During Three Rivers Quarry Blast at Residence.....	3-26
Figure 3.2-1.	Vegetation.....	3-29
Figure 3.2-2.	Big Game Habitat	3-33
Figure 3.3-1.	The Proposed Project Area (1992).....	3-59
Figure 3.3-2.	The Proposed Project Area (2003).....	3-59
Figure 3.3-3.	The Proposed Project Area (2005).....	3-60
Figure 3.3-4.	Overlook of Pit 1 (1992).....	3-60
Figure 3.3-5.	Overlook of Pit 1 (2005).....	3-61
Figure 3.3-6.	Key Observation Points	3-62
Figure 3.3-7.	KOP 1 – View Looking Southwest (2006).....	3-63
Figure 3.3-8.	KOP 2 – View Looking South (2006)	3-65
Figure 3.3-9.	KOP 3 – View Looking Northeast (2006)	3-66
Figure 3.3-10.	KOP 4 – View Looking North (2006)	3-67
Figure 3.3-11.	KOP 5 – View from the East Fork Campground, Looking Southeast (2006)	3-69
Figure 3.3-12.	KOP 6 – View Looking Southeast (2006)	3-70
Figure 3.3-13.	Transportation Map.....	3-71
Figure 3.3-14.	Recreation Map	3-76
Figure 3.3-15.	Grazing Allotment	3-78
Figure 3.3-16.	East Fork Salmon River Bench ACEC/RNA.....	3-81
Figure 4.7-1.	Alternative A – Impact on Income.....	4-60
Figure 4.7-2.	Alternative C – Impact on Income.....	4-62
Figure 4.7-3.	Alternative D – Impact on Income.....	4-64

ACRONYMS

ACEC	Area of Critical Environmental Concern
ACEC/RNA	Area of Critical Environmental Concern/Research Natural Area
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
AMP	Allotment Management Plan
amsl	Above mean sea level
ANFO	Ammonium nitrate fuel oil
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
APE	Area of potential effect
APHIS	Animal, Plant Health Inspection Service
APOO	Amended Plan of Operations
As	Arsenic
AUMs	Animal Unit Months
BA	Biological Assessment
BCP	Bird Conservation Plan
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BURP	Beneficial Use Reconnaissance Project
Cd	Cadmium
CEQ	Council of Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environment Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CFO	Challis Field Office
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
Cu	Copper
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWMA	Cooperative Weed Management Areas
dB	Decibels
dBA	A-weighted sound level
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FIRE	Financial services, insurance, and real estate
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact

FTA	Federal Transit Administration
HMA	Herd Management Area
HP	Horsepower
Hydride-AA	Hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry
Hz	Hertz
I-90	Interstate 90
IBI	Index of Biotic Integrity
ICP-AES	Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry
IDAPA	Idaho Administrative Procedures Act
IDEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
IDFG	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
IDL	Idaho Department of Lands
IDT	Interdisciplinary Team
IISC	Idaho Invasive Species Council
ITD	Idaho Transportation Department
IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources
KOP	Key observation point
kV	Kilovolt
LAUs	Lynx Analysis Units
LCAS	Lynx Conservation Assessment and Strategy
Ldn	Day-Night Average Noise Level
Leq	Equivalent sound level
Lmax	Maximum Sound Pressure Level
Lmin	Minimum Sound Pressure Level
mg/Kg	Milligrams per kilogram
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
National Register	National Register of Historic Places
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPS	National Park Service
OHV	Off-Highway-Vehicle

PLS	Pure live seed
PM	Particulate matter
PPV	Peak particle velocity
Proposed Project	Three Rivers Stone Quarry Expansion Project
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
RAC	Resource Advisory Council
RAMP	Recreation Area Management Plan
RMC	Risk Management Criteria
RMP	Resource Management Plan
RNA	Research Natural Area
SCORTP	Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation and Tourism Plan
Se	Selenium
SH	State Highway
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SNRA	Sawtooth National Recreation Area
SPL	Sound Pressure Level
SQRU	Scenic Quality Rating Units
SRMA	Special Recreation Management Area
the Applicant	L&W Stone Company
TCU	Transportation, communication, and utilities
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
URS	URS Corporation
US	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USDI	United States Department of Interior
USFS	United States Forest Service
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VRM	Visual Resource Management
WSR	Wild and Scenic River
WWP	Western Watersheds Project
Zn	Zinc

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK