

CHAPTER 5.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

This Draft Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (Draft RMP/EIS) represents the efforts and involvement of a broad range of participants, including public agencies, tribal councils, and private organizations and individuals. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) met and consulted with various Federal, State, tribal, and local agencies throughout the process, including coordination with the Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD) which assumed a more formal role as a cooperating agency. BLM conducted and attended many meetings throughout the planning process to keep all interested parties informed, and to solicit opinions and input germane to management of public land resources within the Ironwood Forest National Monument (IFNM or monument). The general public also was brought into the process. All interested parties were invited into the process by means of various formal and informal methods, including meetings (with public agencies, tribal councils, interest groups, and individuals), scoping meetings, workshops, e-mail correspondence, and distribution of newsletters. This section summarizes these activities.

5.1 COMMUNICATION METHODS

The planning area is a special place to many people, and BLM recognizes there is great interest in the outcome of this planning process. It was the agency's task, therefore, to make the process as transparent as possible and engage the surrounding communities' help in identifying all relevant issues. All those with an interest in the RMP process—including communities, tribes, government agencies, various organizations and groups, and individuals—were informed that the planning process was underway, and presented with opportunities for involvement. The response to this, including verbal and written comments received during all phases of the planning process, was helpful to the development of this Draft RMP/EIS. Comments from the public will continue to be accepted for consideration in the subsequent phases of this planning process.

Internal guidelines were established to ensure that the planning process remain as open and inclusive as possible. In response to these guidelines, BLM followed through with these actions:

- Accepted public comments for consideration throughout all stages of the planning effort
- Granted all requests for information (unless the information was unavailable or prohibited by policy or law)
- Assigned staff and managers to meet with all groups and individuals requesting meetings to discuss the RMP process
- Opened internal processes for review by the cooperating agencies, and actively invited their comments and assistance
- Assigned staff and managers to prepare planning information for all meetings, such as meetings with Federal managers, tribal councils, the Grazing Advisory Board, and the Resource Advisory Council

BLM used the following means to inform all interested parties about the progress of the planning effort:

- Public scoping
- Community-based partnership and stewardship workshops
- Formal presentations to American Indian tribal, band, and chapter councils
- Informal presentations to interested groups

- Planning bulletins
- Posting of information on the BLM website
- Partnerships with cooperating agencies

5.2 PLANNING BULLETINS AND WEBSITE

Planning bulletins were sent to interested individuals and groups, affected Federal and State agencies, community groups, and tribes to inform them about planning issues and progress and to invite comment. The bulletins were also made available on BLM’s website (http://www.blm.gov/az/LUP/ironwood/ironwood_plan.htm). Table 5-1 lists the four planning bulletins that were placed on the BLM website and sent to those who requested copies.

Table 5-1: IFNM Planning Bulletins for the Draft RMP/EIS

Date	Contents
July 2002	Announcement of scoping meetings
August 2003	Update of planning process and schedule; announcement of URS Corporation as contractor to assist with the development of the RMP/EIS
February 2004	Summary of scoping results; announcement of meetings to develop goals for the long-term management of the IFNM
August 2005	Summary of preliminary draft alternatives; announcement of meeting to review preliminary draft alternatives
February 2007	Announce availability of the Draft RMP/EIS

Planning information, including the schedule, meeting locations and dates, planning bulletins, the scoping report, the draft alternatives, associated maps, and a copy of this Draft RMP/EIS was posted on the BLM website.

5.3 FORMAL PRESENTATIONS TO AMERICAN INDIAN TRIBES

Before and after the notice of intent was published and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, and Executive Order 13007, meetings were held with American Indian tribal, band, and chapter councils and members. The goal of these meetings was to inform and solicit input for the planning process from all American Indians living on or near the IFNM, or having cultural or ancestral ties to those who are presently living or once lived in the planning area. Table 5-2 lists those meetings.

Table 5-2: Meetings with American Indian Tribes

Date	Tribe, Band, or Council Meeting	Location
July 23, 2002	Gila River Indian Community	Sells, Arizona
October 19, 2004	Gila River Indian Community	Sacaton, Arizona
July 15, 2005	Four Southern Tribes (Tohono O’odham, Gila River Indian Community, Salt River-Pima Indian Community, Ak-Chin Indian Community)	Salt River-Pima Indian Community Learning Center
August 18, 2005	Tohono O’odham	BLM Tucson Field Office
January 12, 2006	Tohono O’odham	IFNM

5.4 PUBLIC SCOPING MEETINGS

The planning process was initiated April 24, 2002, with the publication of a notice of intent in the Federal Register. In July 2002, the BLM Tucson Field Office hosted a series of nine open house public scoping meetings throughout southern Arizona to provide information and a forum for public input into both the plan and the process. The open-house scoping meetings were held in the Arizona communities of Mesa, Casa Grande, Eloy, Arizona City, Tucson, Sells, Picture Rock, Marana, and Green Valley.

5.5 COMMUNITY-BASED WORKSHOPS AND COLLABORATIVE PLANNING

BLM held 11 public workshops to encourage active community involvement in the planning process, and establish a management vision for the planning area. The specific goals of these workshops were to:

- Gather input and information from local communities, agencies, groups, and individuals to help establish goals and objectives for management of public land resources within the IFNM.
- Inform participants about the ongoing planning effort
- Encourage active involvement in planning for the IFNM, including establishment of community-based planning groups

Table 5-3 shows the date and topic for each community workshop. Each workshop was held at the Pima County Parks and Recreation Facility.

Table 5-3: Community Workshops

Date	Topic
March 8, 2004	Vision, overarching goals
March 29, 2004	Vision, overarching goals, public participation opportunities
April 29, 2004	Wildlife and wildlife habitat
May 19, 2004	Wildlife and wildlife habitat
May 26, 2004	Vegetation and special status species
June 9, 2004	Cultural resources
June 16, 2004	Soil, water, air, geology, and minerals
June 23, 2004	Recreation and visual resources
June 30, 2004	Travel Management
July 21, 2004	Livestock grazing
July 28, 2004	Mining and lands and realty

5.6 COOPERATING AGENCIES

As discussed in Section 1.6.1, BLM is required by law to prepare NEPA analysis and documentation "in cooperation with State and local governments," and other agencies with jurisdiction by law or special expertise (42 U.S.C. 4331(a), 4332(2)). Qualified agencies, tribes, or other governments that enter into formal cooperation under this provision are called cooperating agencies. In support of the cooperating agency mandate, BLM invited a broad range of Federal, State, tribal, and local agencies to become cooperating agencies on the development of the IFNM RMP. AGFD formally agreed to be a cooperating agency during this planning process, and developed a Memorandum of Understanding with BLM outlining the agencies' various responsibilities with regard to the planning process.

In addition, representatives from other interested Federal and State agencies and tribes provided BLM with ongoing verbal and/or written comments, and provided planning information, including Geographic Information System (GIS) data layers and information.

Various other groups have also played a vital role in the planning process. Their participation has been informal and infrequent. Public involvement in planning for the IFNM is ongoing. There will continue to be many opportunities for public involvement. Planning is merely the beginning of collaboration and communication that translates into healthy landscapes and continuing opportunities to use and appreciate the resources in a wide variety of ways.

5.7 IFNM DRAFT RMP/EIS DISTRIBUTION LIST

5.7.1 Federal Agencies

- Bureau of Indian Affairs
 - Phoenix, Arizona
 - Reston, Virginia
- Bureau of Land Management
 - Washington D.C. Office
 - Tucson Field Office
 - Phoenix District Office
 - Gila District Office
 - Arizona Strip District Office
 - Colorado River District Office
 - Arizona State Office
- Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado
- Federal Highway Administration, Phoenix, Arizona
- Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- National Park Service
 - Washington Service Center, Washington, D.C.
 - Pacific West Region, San Francisco, California
 - Saguaro National Park, Tucson, Arizona
- Minerals Management Service
 - Denver, Colorado
 - Herndon, Virginia
- Natural Resources Conservation Service, Phoenix, Arizona
- Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, Oakland, California
- Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Bureau of Mines, Denver, Colorado

- U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Compliance (EH-23), Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 - Washington, D.C.
 - Denver, Colorado
 - San Francisco, California
- U.S. Department of Defense
 - Air Force Regional Environmental Office, San Francisco, California
 - Army Corps of Engineers, Phoenix, Arizona
 - Davis Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security
 - Laguna Nigule, California
 - U.S. Border Patrol, Tucson, Arizona
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 - Phoenix, Arizona
 - Tucson, Arizona
 - Division of Environmental Quality, Arlington, Virginia
- U.S. Forest Service
 - Coronado National Forest, Tucson, Arizona
- U.S. Geological Survey
 - Tucson, Arizona
 - Reston, Virginia

5.7.2 State Agencies and Organizations

- Arizona Army National Guard, Western Army National Guard Aviation Training Site
- Arizona Corporation Commission
- Arizona Department of Agriculture
- Arizona Department of Commerce
- Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
- Arizona Department of Water Resources
- Arizona Department of Mines and Mineral Resources
- Arizona Department of Transportation
- Arizona Game and Fish Department
- Arizona Geological Survey
- Arizona Historical Society

- Arizona Mines and Mineral Resources
- Arizona State Historic Preservation Office
- Arizona State Land Department
- Arizona State Parks

5.7.3 Local Governments

- Casa Grande, Arizona
- Eloy, Arizona
- Marana, Arizona
- Oro Valley, Arizona
- Pima Association of Governments
- Pima County
- Pinal County
- Tucson, Arizona

5.7.4 Tribal Governments

- Ak Chin Indian Community
- Gila River Indian Community
- Tohono O’odham Nation
- Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
- San Carlos Apache Indian Community

5.7.5 Congressionals

- Senator Jon Kyl, Arizona
- Senator John McCain, Arizona
- Representative Raul Grijalva, Arizona
- Representative Gabrielle Giffords, Arizona
- Representative Rick Renzi, Arizona

5.7.6 Libraries

- Geasa-Marana Branch Library, Marana, Arizona
- Salazar-Ajo Branch Library, Ajo, Arizona
- Joel D. Valdez Main Library, Tucson, Arizona
- Casa Grande Public Library, Casa Grade, Arizona

5.8 LIST OF PREPARERS

Name	Draft RMP/EIS Responsibilities	Education
BLM		
Maile Adler	Travel Management, Recreation	B.S., Parks and Recreation Management
Bill Auby	Hazardous Materials/Waste, Geology, Minerals and Energy Resources	M.S., Geology B.S., Geology and Geophysics
Vic Brown	Law Enforcement, Public Safety	B.A., Geography and Geology
Lorraine Buck	Public Involvement, Consultation and Coordination	B.A., Communications Studies
Scott Berkenfield	Management Oversight and Review	B.S., Recreational Resource Management and Geology
Susan Bernal	Lands and Realty	B.S., Regional Development
Tricia Gibson	Cultural Resources, Native American Religious Concerns	M.A., Information Resources & Library Science B.A., Anthropology
Mark Lambert	Planning Team Lead	M.S., Environmental Policy B.S., Planning and Natural Resource Management
Patrick Madigan	Management Oversight and Review	M.P.A., Public Administration B.S., Geography
Linda Marianito	Planning Overview, NEPA Compliance	B.S., Renewable Natural Resources
Francisco Mendoza	Recreation, Wilderness Characteristics, Visual Resources, Travel Management	B.S., Landscape Architecture
Dan Moore	Air Quality, Soils and Water Resources	M.S., Hydrogeology B.S., Geology and Geophysics
Larry Shults	Planning Team Lead (retired)	Ph.D., Ecology M.S., Parasitology B.S., Zoology
Darrell Tersey	Vegetation, Wildlife, Special Status Species, Range Management, Special Designations	B.S., Wildlife Ecology
Max Witkind	Cultural Resources, Native American Religious Concerns, Paleontology	M.S., Anthropology B.A., Technical Journalism
URS		
Kim Bidle	Project Coordinator Recreation	B.S., Environmental Resources
Katherine "Sunny" Bush	Hazardous Materials and Public Safety	M.S., Hazardous Materials Management B.A. English
Jean Paul Charpentier	Wildlife Habitat, Special Status Species	M.S., Wildlife Ecology B.S., Biology
Kirsten Erickson	Cultural Resources	M.A., United States History and Public History B.A., History
Bob Farmer	Air Quality	Ph.D., Chemical Engineering B.S., Chemical Engineering P.E., Chemical Engineering
Jennifer Frownfelter	Project Manager Lands and Realty, Special Designations	M.E.M., Environmental Management M.P.P., Public Policy B.A., Biology and Environmental Conservation
Wendy Gabriel	Technical Editor	M.E.P., Environmental Planning B.A., Psychology

Name	Draft RMP/EIS Responsibilities	Education
Kavi Koleini	Fire Ecology and Management, Visual Resources, Wilderness Characteristics	B.S. Environmental Science
Ben Lively	Geological Resource, Travel Management	B.S., Environmental Sciences
Colleen Mahoney	Word Processor	12 years of experience editing technical and environmental documents
Peter Martinez	Geographic Information System	M.A., Geographic Information Management
David Palmer	Geological and Mineral Resources	M.A., Geology B.S., Geology
Jennifer Pyne	Recreation, Travel Management	M.E.P., Environmental Planning B.S., Government
Gene Rogge	Cultural Resources	Ph.D., Anthropology M.A., Anthropology B.A., Anthropology
Cindy Smith	Principal-in-Charge Public Participation	B.S., Liberal Arts and Sciences
Barbara Sprungl	Air Quality	M.B.A., Business Administration B.S., Chemical Engineering
Ginger Torres	Lands and Realty	B.S., Earth Systems Science
Leslie Watson	Technical Advisor/Reviewer Livestock Grazing	B.S., Zoology
Jen Wennerlund	Geographic Information System Database Management.	B.S., Geography, Cartography, Remote Sensing, Land Use Planning
The Environmental Company		
Carol Wirth	Social and Economic Conditions and Environmental Justice	B.S., Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Clear Creek Associates		
Barbara H. Murphy	Paleontology and Cave Resources	B.A., Geology