

STEENS MOUNTAIN ADVISORY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
September 16 & 17, 2010
Diamond, OR

Thursday, September 16, 2010

MEMBERS PRESENT

Pam Hardy, Chair, Dispersed Recreation, Bend, OR
William Renwick, Environmental Representative, Burns, OR
David Bilyeu, Environmental Representative Statewide, Bend, OR
Fred Otley, Private Landowner, Diamond, OR
Richard Angstrom, No Financial Interest, Salem, OR
Paul Bradley, Wild Horse Specialist, Hines, OR
Stacy Davies, Grazing Permittee, Frenchglen, OR
Richard Jenkins, Recreational Permit Holder, Diamond, OR
Daniel Haak, Mechanized Consumption Recreation, Burns, OR
Tom Davis, Fish & Recreational Fishing, Sisters, OR
Hoyt Wilson, Grazing Permittee, Princeton, OR

MEMBERS ABSENT

Burns Paiute Tribal Member
State Liaison

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL (DFO)

Kenny McDaniel, District Manager, BLM, Hines, OR

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL ASSISTANTS

Christi West, SMAC Coordinator, BLM, Hines, OR
Sheryl Drushella, Records Management Specialist, BLM, Hines, OR

FACILITATOR

Terry Morton, Klamath Falls, OR

PRESENTERS

Joan Suther, BLM, Hines, OR
Michelle Franulovich, BLM, Hines, OR
Rhonda Karges, BLM, Hines, OR

Laurie Thorp, Forest Service, Portland, OR
Kathy Ludlow, Forest Service, Portland, OR
Christian Hagen, ODFW, Bend, OR

COMMENTING PUBLIC

Hilda Allison, High Desert Partnership, Burns,
OR
Matt Little, ONDA, Bend, OR
Mandy Benton, High Desert Partnership, Burns,
OR

Dan Jordan, Private property owner, Burns, OR
Chad Karges, Malheur NWR, Princeton, OR

OTHERS PRESENT

Bill Pieratt, BLM, Hines, OR
Matt Obradovich, BLM, Hines, OR
Jeff Rose, BLM, Hines, OR

Pauline Braymen, Burns, OR
Linda Johnson, Burns, OR

WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, HOUSEKEEPING AND AGENDA REVIEW;

Terry Morton

Opened the meeting, self introductions were made, housekeeping items were addressed and the agenda was reviewed.

NICHE PLAN – finalize the Vision Statement

Laurie Thorp and Kathy Ludlow

What is your role and/or SMAC's role in implementing a vision?

Terry – Making practical recommendations that are consistent with the higher ideals in the vision.

Pam – Ensure the plans that are written and the options that are taken are consistent with the vision and for all of us come together to offer different perspectives.

Daniel – Learn as much as possible. Represent his constituency and to contribute as to where he feels he can.

Fred – Bring the reality forward. Work collectively and cooperatively for the long-term benefit of the people, private property rights, and of Steens Mountain.

Hoyt – Maintain the ecology health of the mountain.

Kenny – Support the field manager and staff with the decisions that are being made.

Dick – Keep common sense in mind and begin managing the mountain for the good of the resource. Not wanting to waste time on agendas.

Stacy – Find unique ways to allow multiple uses at the same time.

Bill – Appreciate the nature and the esthetics of what Steens Mountain is.

David – My role is to communicate the vision and express improvements to the mountain and what needs additional work.

Tom – Communicate and educate the public as to why the Steens are such a special place.

Paul – Maintain the access and multi-use aspects of the Steens area to ensure future generations will continue to have access to the land.

Richard – All the personalities are diverse and what each person brings to the SMAC. Assist in bringing solutions together and resolve conflict.

A video presentation “Celebrating What’s Right with the World”, by Dewitt Jones, National Geographic, was viewed.

The group was asked to relax, close their eyes and imagine:

- A place that is special and unique to them.
- 25 years from now; what would it take for it to go from good to great?
- How do we give it all back? How do we share the Steens and what is our contribution to the American public?

Members shared their vision:

Richard – The view from Kiger Lookout was unbelievable. A second one was at Roaring Spring Ranch watching a ranch hand move cattle, and at that particular moment with the lighting, the dust, the rhythm of the cattle on the landscape was amazing.

Tom – Would like to bring all interest groups together and not direct our attention on more users. Need to focus on improving the watershed and yet blend in the uses to make it a better blend so that the aquatic ecosystem and the terrestrial wildlife reflect the health of the watershed.

Dick – People need to understand that there have been changes throughout the years that have made the mountain a place that is not so special anymore. This is due to over usage in certain areas due to specific activities and prevention of proper management. Two particular places are the Kiger Gorge and a portion of the mountain that is now cattle free wilderness.

Pam – Old west/new west culture. We need to welcome each other.

Stacy – Plentiful wildlife, many users with minimal impact, clean water, wide open spaces and dark nights, grass lands, conflicts resolved intelligently, cooperative landscape management.

Kenny – In 25 years I hope that there is no special designation than what it is today.

David – Remains to be a place where people can have your own experience, rather than have it defined for you.

Break

The SMAC was asked to provide their input with finalizing the Vision Statement.

Exercise: What do I see in 25 years?

Pam – Felt the sentence “main travel routes offer a sense of security” is inaccurate. Although there are places that do offer a comforting and welcoming atmosphere, i.e., Frenchglen and Diamond Hotel. Travel routes and security should not be together. Additionally, the term “geo-tourism” is too specific, may use the term “private businesses”.

Stacy – Likes the blend as it gives the impression of a developed and undeveloped landscape.

Paul – Would like to change “a new sense of stewardship” to “a new sense of Ahh”. This is a park for the people.

Tom – The term “environmental” is too subjective and covers too many concepts in that different people look at it differently. A possible substitution could read, “sustainable natural wildlife population and aquatic health and vitality”.

Terry – Would like to see “Historic Ranching” and “Nature” highlighted.

Rich – Likes overall vision statement. However, suggested amending a couple of sentences to,

“Century Ranches are a source of rich history and immense community pride that should be Celebrated” and, “the mountain provides individual, spiritual connectivity within its grandeur”.
David – “Environmental” should be replaced with “unique wilderness experience and resiliency”.
Hoyt – Suggested replacing the word “wilderness” to “wild lands”.

Laurie and Kathy will make the recommended amendments and provide the final draft to Michelle Franulovich to present at the November SMAC meeting.

Comprehensive Recreation Plan

Michelle Franulovich

The SMAC was asked to identify, on a map, areas for the BLM to focus within the Comprehensive Recreation Plan

- Recreation Sites:
 - There is a need for overflow campsites on the north side. However, do not have to be as developed as the standard campground.
 - Communicate with adjacent landowners and/or identify BLM sites to expand an area large enough to accommodate large horse trailers, motor homes, and group camping.
 - Potential locations:
 - Below Fish Lake
 - Charlie Otley’s – Gravel Pit
 - BLM’s newly developed gravel pits – Note: Not feasible as planned for use for approximately 20 years.
 - Dan Jordan’s – Pate Lake
 - Modify existing signs at the entrance of the North and South Loop, Fish Lake, and South Steens to identify trails, services available, etc.
 - Develop an internet site:
 - Post maps and trails
 - Camping – Number of sites, group camping, fees, equestrian sites
 - Limitations and hazards
 - Safety
 - Road conditions
 - Develop a brochure with specific information.
 - Educate front desk staff to better inform the public.
 - Pursue a cooperative agreement with Paul Davis with the potential to develop a recreational campground on the East side.
 - Improve the trails to look as natural as possible (not to ADA standards).
 - Boulder placement along the edge for safety.
 - Widen parking area for easier turn around.

SMAC MOTION

Fred Otley moved that the BLM establish a Cooperative Management Agreement with the landowner (Paul Davis Livestock) in developing a campground on the East side of

the Fields-Andrews Road. This recommendation is necessary due to the extensive recreational use near the Alvord Hot Springs, within the CMPA, and because there is not an adequate location inside the CMPA, within the general vicinity.

Friendly Amendment: If the BLM is unable to work out a Cooperative Management Agreement with the landowner, the BLM will develop a campground at an alternative location inside the CMPA, within the general vicinity.

David Bilyeu seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

LUNCH

- Trails:
 - Do we want to:
 - ✓ Leave trails “as is”?
 - ✓ Develop an interpretive trail in the WJMA?
 - ✓ Add onto existing trails, primarily Little Blitzen and Big Indian?
 - ✓ Create looped trails?
 - ✓ Designate new trails?
 - ✓ Develop a Fir Grove Trail?
 - ✓ Develop a trail from Penland Road to Wild Horse Canyon?
 - ✓ Develop campsites and parking area with a kiosk at the Penland location?
 - Cold Springs – Do we want:
 - ✓ To designate it as a trail only?
 - ✓ Vehicular access by permit only and a designated trail?
 - WJMA Trail – What do we want?
 - ✓ Short trail vs. longer trail that explore all the research plots.
 - ✓ Additional interpretative panels along the trail?
 - Fir Grove Trail
 - ✓ Less than 1 mile long.
 - ✓ Potential access crossing a section of Hammond’s property.
- Winter Recreation
 - ✓ Do we want to limit the number of permits?
 - ✓ Do we want to limit the number of people?
 - ✓ Do we want to limit the number of motorized vehicles?
 - ✓ If we get to the point where we are seeing conflict, we may consider separate uses.
 - ✓ Primarily 2/3 cross country skiers and 1/3 snowmobiles.
 - ✓ There were 37 permits issued last year.
 - ✓ Average group size was 3.
 - ✓ There were no conflicts reported.

Due to safety concerns, there is a proposal to move the Black Canyon Gate located by the South Steens Campground approximately 100 yards up the road or move the gate west of Burnt Car Road.

- Rooster Comb –
 - ✓ A binding material will be added to the road that will adhere to the existing rocks.
 - ✓ At this time, we're not anticipating widening the road.
 - ✓ For safety reasons the berg will be left as is.
 - ✓ Water drainage issues will be addressed.

SMAC MOTION

Stacy moved the SMAC to support the BLM with Level 3 maintenance to the Rooster Comb section of the Steens Loop Road to include: 1) Large boulders leveled off, gravel placement over the top with a binding agent.; 2) Provide adequate water drainage, erosion reduction, and the reduction of sediment delivery; and 3) Install signs at both ends of the Rooster Comb notifying the public that this section of the road is narrow, steep and potentially dangerous.

Dick Jenkins seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Public Comment

Amanda Benton

Thanked the committee for their honesty, a variety of opinions and seeing various collaborative groups willing to work together.

Response to Public Comment

None

Meeting Effectiveness

- ✓ Not every issue warrants the amount of time that is spent on a particular subject.
- ✓ Acquire a consensus on the bullet points before a recommendation/motion is made.
- ✓ Request mediator to assist the SMAC with the amount of time on a subject.
- ✓ Request mediator to assist with articulating recommendations and motions.
- ✓ Request mediator to ask the SMAC if this is a recommendation/motion that they truly want and if this is something they can live with.

Designated Federal Official Update

Kenny McDaniel

- ✓ Stinkingwater Horse Gather
 - Horse gather was a success with no opposition.
 - We gathered approximately 200 horses and released 50 back into the field.
- ✓ Burnt Car
 - Finalized, with the exception of the work on the ground.
 - ONDA appealed the decision for rehabilitation.

- Mediation session was held in Eugene. It was determined that our decision record was in step with the proposed settlement.
- ONDA dropped their appeal and signed the settlement agreement.
- The settlement was \$51,000. This was in addition to the \$10,000 paid earlier.
- The State Director made the final settlement decision.
- Inventory needs to be completed and we need to provide ONDA with our Road Maintenance Policy.
- We are required to update our Cooperative Agreement with ONDA.
- We are required to notify the public when conducting maintenance on level 2 roads, within the CMPA.
- There are areas along the road that have filled in with vegetation.
- Access is allowed for administrative, landowners, permittees and contractors on closed road segments.
- The Great Old Broads for Wilderness is an environmental group out of Durango, Colorado that was included in the lawsuit.
- We received 3 comments on the EA.
- ✓ The Transportation Management Plan
 - The TMP is currently in litigation.
 - Our case was submitted to the District Court.
 - The SMAC's recommendation was included in the administrative record for the TMP.
- ✓ Five Creeks Project
 - Great field trip yesterday at Five Creeks project. We have a great staff that work tremendously hard and worked methodically to complete the project. This is a great example of how things should be done.
 - Large cooperative process that involved 6 private landowners.
 - BLM and outside money funded the project.
- ✓ North Steens
 - Money was obligated for the project
 - The BLM may do mechanical treatments within WSAs, if monitoring shows that it is the most practical and the only way to allow fire to carry across the landscape.
 - The case is currently in litigation.
 - We're required to update our wilderness attributes in non WSA areas, after the North Steens Project is complete.

- ✓ The Burns District received \$1.5 million in additional, ARRA funds, which will be used on the Rooster Comb section of the Steens Loop Road.

Meeting adjourned at 5:30pm

Everyone was invited to dinner at the Diamond Hotel @ 6:30pm

Friday, September 17, 2010

Welcome, Introductions, Housekeeping and agenda:

Facilitator Terry Morton opened the meeting. Housekeeping items were covered and the agenda was reviewed.

ODFW Sage-Grouse Strategy

Christian Hagen – Sage-Grouse coordinator, Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

A multi-stakeholder effort to conserve sage-grouse and their habitats was initiated in 2001 and by August 2005 a conservation plan was in place. The Plan was recognized as a living document and would need to be updated at 5-year intervals to ensure that new information and conservation efforts were recognized. The new plan is currently out for public review and addresses such things as:

- the life cycle of sage-grouse
- sage-grouse habitat needs
- risks to sage-grouse and their habitat such as predators, wild fires, and habitat fragmentation
- sagebrush categories and recommendations for non-development within those categories and
- mitigation to reduce or eliminate affects to sage-grouse and their habitat.

A draft version of the Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Assessment and Strategy for Oregon can be located at <http://www.dfw.state.or.us/wildlife/sagegrouse/>

MOTION MADE:

Tom Davis moved that the council advocates a proactive cooperative approach to protecting sage-grouse within the CMPA and efforts to provide safe harbors for participating landowners and incentives for maintaining and improving habitats and populations.

Bill Renwick seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Field Manager's Report

Joan Suther

- The BLM-Washington D.C. office is hosting a National Landscape Conservation System (NLCS) conference (Summit) in Las Vegas, NV on November 15 & 16. The conference is limited to 175 people and one member from the SMAC is invited to represent the committee. Each state is allocated a certain number.

MOTION MADE:

Richard Angstrom moved for the SMAC to nominate David Bilyeu as the representative to the NLCS Summit.

Paul Bradley seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Stacy Davies, 1st alternate

Pam Hardy, 2nd alternate

Bill Renwick, 3rd alternate

- Travel Management Plan (TMP)

- BLM staff and attorneys wanted the SMAC to be aware ONDA may be challenging grazing-related routes.
 - This was not brought up in their first appeal.
 - Routes were negotiated before the Steens Act was passed.
- Declarations were added because there were additional points in ONDA's recent court briefing that they did not bring up in their original appeal.
- IBLA made the decision that obscure routes should not be open routes.
- ONDA has not accepted the remainder of IBLA's decision.
- Riddle Brothers Ranch
 - Juniper Management project, which included 39 acres, was awarded to ASP from Central Oregon.
 - The cost for the project is around \$20,000.
- Trespass Notice
 - Currently Mr. Wentz has sheep in the "No Livestock Grazing Area".

Chairperson Update

Pam Hardy

Nothing to report

Public Comment

A letter was read by Joan Suther from Laurie O'Conner, Secretary, Steens Back Country Horsemen.

Matt Little, ONDA;

- ONDA is supportive of renewable energy coming into the State.
- We have concerns with the Echanis project and that the transmission lines are being considered as a solo project when it's clear from the developers and public comments that they're considering it as an entire project with East/West Ridge and Riddle Mountain.
- A specific amount of mega watts is necessary for this project.
- We're requesting a supplemental EIS to ensure the whole package is analyzed because it will have adverse impacts.
- Core 1 habitat covers 80% of the turbines on the East/West Ridge.
- Core 2 habitat covers the Echanis project.
- The SMAC should consider, under the Steens Act, the purpose is to keep the current character of the land. Over 400,000 acres of CMPA land, 170,000 + acres are wilderness.
- A negative visual impact is the blinking lights, which can be seen up to 25 miles away.
- ONDA believes that a full analysis was not completed.

SMAC response to public comments:

The SMAC would like clarification of identifying and improving access from Ankle Creek to HWY 205 (Comments from Steens Back Country Horseman).

LUNCH

North Steens Transmission Line Update

The SMAC's jurisdiction in making a recommendation to the BLM as it pertains to the Transmission Line:

Section 132 of the Steens Act says, The Advisory Committee shall formulate recommendations for the secretary regarding "new and unique approaches to the management of lands within the boundaries within the CMPA", and "cooperative programs and incentives for seamless landscape management that meets human needs and maintains and improves the ecological and economical integrity of the" CMPA.

The proposed transmission line is on private land within the CMPA. The wind farm is outside the CMPA. For impacts to private land, the BLM may identify mitigation measures that will reduce or limit impacts of a non-federal action when it is connected to a BLM proposed action. For such Non-Federal actions, the relevant, reasonable mitigation measures are likely to include mitigation measures that would be carried out by other Federal State or local agencies or Tribes. Additionally, identifying mitigation measures outside BLM jurisdiction serves to alert other agencies that can implement the mitigation. There are two regulatory agencies that can enforce mitigation for this project - US Fish & Wildlife Service for Eagles and Corps of Engineers for Wetlands.

Chad Karges, Malheur National Wildlife Refuge

- ❖ The Refuge is a cooperating agency in the EIS.
- ❖ Identify in the final EIS, if there is a preferred alternative.
- ❖ Harney County found an old right-of-way which goes through a portion of the Refuge.
- ❖ The Refuge is currently researching to see if the County has a legal authority to issue a public utility right-of-way.

The SMAC was asked to provide their position, comments, and views regarding the Transmission Line EIS and the Wind Farm:

Due to contractual obligations, Fred and Hoyt did not participate in the discussion.

Dan Haak

- If 2 people abstain from the issue, we do not have a quorum to make a recommendation.
- This is a personal opinion and feels there is a conflict with the idea of transmission lines and wind farms.
- Personally has a hard time dictating to private landowners what they can and cannot do on their private property, including property within the CMPA.
- Everyone wants the benefits of the infrastructure yet have the opinion of "not in my backyard".
- I have a responsibility under the SMAC charter to protect the CMPA.
- Most of my constituents are in favor of the development. Therefore, if I had to vote I would vote in favor.

Pam Hardy

- Adding windmills to the Mountain would be a significant change to the character of the area.
- I have always understood that one of the few things we always agreed upon was that we wanted the mountain to remain the same. I still want that.

- If the people who negotiated the Act would have considered wind and solar impacts in addition to geothermal impacts, the wind and solar exclusion would be just as large as geothermal exclusion.
- I have spoken to many people around the State within conservation organizations. I've experienced a unanimous agreement in that it would be detrimental to the character of the mountain.
- For the reasons that I have expressed, I propose the BLM to choose no action.

Rich Angstrom

- I acknowledge all the competing values that this issue brings.
- People have a right to make a living.
- I personally do not have an opinion and I remain neutral.

Paul Bradley

- Having a landscape architect background, I have designed several parks under transmission lines. There is a safety issue related to high-voltage power lines.
- Habitat improvement and enhancement would be an improvement.
- An alternative route should be explored within the ACEC.
- There are alternative design variations with the towers.
- With the social benefit I am in favor of the wind towers.

Tom Davis

- For the last half century we have always assigned the burden of proof to natural resources such as fish & wildlife. The regulations are the only thing that's left.
- Need to provide additional resources to fish & wildlife.
- Property rights are not clear cut. There are also public property rights, which I support, i.e., fish, water, wildlife, and BLM & Refuge lands. There are constraints and sideboards when it comes to public property.
- We cannot continue the destructive practices as seen today. We need to transition into a new way of thinking. At this point he is undecided; however, he is leaning towards no action.

David Bilyeu

- Understands this is a difficult decision.
- The Act talks about integrity, protecting and maintaining the mountain.
- The fragmentation caused by lines, service roads, and the protected species within the regions and the visual impacts with the projects significantly change the nature of the mountain.
- The \$25 million was added in to protect the historical nature of the land. The SMAC should look at the possibility of the authorization of the funds through Congress to begin purchasing development rights.
- For the reasons that I have expressed I propose the BLM should choose no action.

Bill Renwick

- Wants to preserve the nature and character of the Steens Mountain. This implies to private lands within or adjacent to the boundary of the CMPA.
- At this time, my most critical position is to preserve the SMAC. Feels that there are many people that want to see the SMAC fail; trying to work very hard to prevent this from happening.

- I've been involved in project management for the last 30 years. No consistent plan from beginning to finish. Either some individuals do not want us to know or don't know themselves, either way, they both scare me.
- People do not want to address concerns.
- What we have right now cannot support what is being proposed. EIS is specific to the Echanis project. The electric utility that we currently have can only service one project, whichever comes first. Even at that, they will still be required to perform upgrades of around 10-15 million, just to make it compatible to handle the first project. If they opt to go the west route, it will cost substantially more.
- According to the latitude, the project will be subsidized approximately 75%, which I'm against.
- There have been similar developments that have been abandoned because the subsidies have run out while the infrastructure has been left standing. Although there are provisions written into the proposal to eliminate it.
- Personally don't see where there will be an economic impact. A majority of the time they bring in their own employees.
- The tax benefit for Harney County and the political infrastructure of Harney County is unclear of what to expect for tax revenue. In favor of compensating private landowners under a Conservation Agreement so that they wouldn't feel the need to develop a wind farm on their private land.

Stacy Davies

- Due to being a close neighbor, at this time, I'm not willing to state a position.
- Roaring Springs had an opportunity to develop wind power and chose not to because:
 - Roaring Springs owns an Ethanol Company in Colorado. Ethanol is greatly subsidized and many companies took large subsidies. Large Ethanol plants were created and around 80% of them today are bankrupt. Roaring Springs chose not to participate. Ethanol is a viable resource and we market the byproducts of the corn effectively that our production pays for itself.
 - The wind resource will not go away. When it's an economically viable industry and it will pay for itself, without a government subsidy, we will consider it.
 - Many oil leases were negotiated with small startup companies that were then purchased by larger companies which essentially changed the rules.
 - The value of the transmission line is of equal value to the towers on the mountain.
 - Wind towers come at a cost to future generations.
 - This power is not going to be used in Harney County.
 - Power should be generated at the point of use.
 - Everyone who participates in the production of the power should be paid their fair share.
 - Most environmental disasters are created by economic disasters.
 - The basic culture of the area will change.
 - The average windmill in the State of Oregon is generating wind power 17% of the time.

Review Action Items, Parking Lot, Meeting Effectiveness

Daniel Haak's, Stacy Davies', and Richard Angstrom's appointments expire in October, 2010. The original charter allowed for members to remain on the SMAC 180 days after their appointments expired.

However, this language was removed by the Washington Office in subsequent charters. Since the members are all re-appointments the committee felt that the meeting scheduled for November should continue without making any recommendations.

SMAC MOTION

Pam Hardy moved for the SMAC to amend the charter to read, all positions shall remain active until replaced. The SMAC is mandated by law. The committee is a body that requires a 9 vote majority out of 12 active members. Therefore, it is imperative to have a quorum.

David Bilyeu seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

Approval of Minutes

Stacy Davies moved to approve the September 2009 minutes. Paul Bradley seconded.

The September 2009 minutes were approved.

Stacy Davies moved to approve the October 2009 minutes. Richard Angstrom seconded.

Pam Hardy and David Bilyeu called for amendments/corrections to the minutes.

Establish Next Meeting's Agenda

- ✓ Road Maintenance Policy – Level 1, 2, and 3
- ✓ Recreation Plan Follow-Up
 - Special Recreation Permits
- ✓ Matt Obradovich and Representative from ODFW
 - Winter Range Recommendations
- ✓ Solicitor
 - NEPA
 - WSAs
 - Authority of SMAC
 - Ongoing litigation
 - Interim Management Policy for Lands Under Wilderness Review
 - How can the SMAC provide input to the negotiation process?
- ✓ Report on the NLCS meeting
- ✓ Voting on new officers
- ✓ \$25 million – Appropriation of funds
- ✓ 2011 meeting schedule

Meeting was adjourned at 3:30pm

Next meeting date: November 18 & 19, 2010, Bend, Oregon

Submitted by: Sheryl Drushella

The Steens Mountain Advisory Council approved the minutes on _____

Signed by Pam Hardy, SMAC Chair _____