

<i>Decision Criteria/Factor (Section 1.2.2)</i>	<i>Alternative A (No Action – Current Management)</i>	<i>Alternative B</i>	<i>Alternative C</i>	<i>Alternative D (Preferred Alternative)</i>
<i>Provides an appropriate mechanism for evaluating proposed lands and realty projects including communication towers, utility line and rights-of-way applications</i>	Partially fulfills: Rights-of-Way (ROW), utility line, and communication tower proposals would be reviewed and approved on a case by case basis and would be subject to constraints to protect sensitive resource values, and address issues identified in the current Resource Management Plan. No additional management guidelines would be developed to address visual resources.			Fulfills: Discretionary Lands and realty proposals would be subject to design features that would mitigate potential impacts to visual resources within the planning area.
<i>Meets Aquatic Conservation Strategy Objectives</i>	Fulfills: Neither the Proposed Action nor the no Action Alternatives would prevent the attainment of any of the nine Aquatic Conservation Strategy Objectives (table 9). However, under The No Action Alternative, unauthorized recreational uses within riparian reserves would continue to degrade the conditions to which they contribute (e.g., soil erosion, sedimentation, bank erosion, compaction of riparian surface soils, conduit for the spread of noxious species, etc.). Under the Action Alternatives, restoration actions would be assisting in the restoration of riparian areas and riparian functional condition.			

Table 11 - Comparison of Alternative by Decision Criteria/Factors (EA section 1.2.2)