FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No __________ (go to Form 2)  Yes ___X____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

   a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington  Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

   b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s):____ Coffee Lake/1-60 _________

   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

   | Unit#/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>6,440</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table:*

The previous inventory found that the unit met the minimum size criteria and that it was in an apparently natural condition. The relief of the unit consists of the down slope of a fault block formation and offers only minor relief and vegetative screening the form of sage. The unit was narrow, nearly seven miles long and ranges from 1.5 to 2 miles wide. Outstanding opportunities for solitude were not found do the limited relief and configuration of the unit, it would difficult to avoid the sights and sounds of others. Additionally due to its small size, and lack of any significant feature representing a recreation value, the unit did not offer any outstanding opportunities for recreation.
a. Unit Number/Name: OR-015-060/Coffee Lake

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size? Yes _X__ No __________

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p.72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Addition is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.

Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the Coffee Lake. This 6,576 acre unit bounded by BLM
Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

**DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS:** This unit is about 6 miles long and two miles wide and is located approximately fifteen miles northeast of Paisley. The unit does not contain any private or other jurisdiction inholdings. The topography has not changed since the original inventory and still can be described as containing a north to south trending east facing basalt rim several hundred feet high along the east side of the unit with a low angle west facing down slope. The down slope of the unit has low contour relief and is covered by low growing big sagebrush.

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?**  Yes _X_ No ______ N/A_______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East unit was generally natural and affected primarily by the forces of nature. After intensive review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East unit is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, which must be evaluated individually.

Currently within the unit there are three constructed waterholes, and one guzzler. One quarter mile of reclaiming routes, one half mile of open, primitive, motorized routes, and roughly four miles of grazing allotment fence line. The range improvements and their associated access routes are located primarily around the perimeter of the unit.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition. These man-made developments are spread across the unit and substantially noticeable within close distances (up to a quarter mile) and less noticeable from farther distances. However, the overall natural character within the area has changed little since the original inventory.

Based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition where the imprint of man is substantially unnoticeable.

(3) **Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?**  Yes _______ No _X_ _______ N/A_________
ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for solitude (page 69). However, after review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and must be evaluated individually.

The unit is a broad flat slope tilted downward to the west, and its shape is long and narrow. The landscape is still relatively open and lacks tall vegetative or topographic screening (Map 3). One would still not be able to avoid the presence of others across the flat expanse of the unit.

The most common visitors to the unit include livestock permitees, BLM staff, hunters, and other recreationists. The continued presence of boundary roads and internal motorized routes (Map 2) provides motorized access to the perimeter and portions of the interior of the unit. The area is currently open to off-highway vehicle use (see Map R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD) and is managed to provide motorized access. The motorized access increases the likelihood of encountering other people visiting the unit. The noise associated with motorized activity may further diminish the solitude experience for those visitors attempting to get away from others.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the opportunities for solitude within this unit are lower than those found in 1980 and the unit still does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude.

(4) Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?

Yes ______ No X____ N/A_______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger, and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for recreation. ONDA identified hiking, horseback riding, hunting, photography, sight-seeing, and wildlife viewing and recreation opportunities within their larger proposal (page 69). After review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and each must be evaluated individually.

The BLM currently manages the area to provide semi-primitive motorized recreational experiences. Further, the area is open off-highway vehicle use (see Maps R-3 and R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD). The BLM ID Team considered the primitive recreation opportunities identified in 1980 and those identified by ONDA and determined that hunting and wildlife observation continue to be the primary primitive recreation
opportunities available. These recreation opportunities are similar to those available on other surrounding BLM lands within the Lakeview Resource Area and are not unique or outstanding. The unit does not provide a single, unique primitive recreational opportunity, nor does it provide a unique diversity of primitive recreation opportunities.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that that the primitive and unconfined recreation opportunities within this unit have not changed since 1980. The unit continues to lack an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes _____ No ___ N/A ___ X _____

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit does not meet the minimum wilderness criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Coffee Lake/OR-015-060

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements?  __X__Yes ___No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?  __X__Yes ____No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  ____Yes __X__No ___NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___Yes ____No __X__NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

__X__ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
Prepared by: (ID Team Member Names and Titles):

Paul Whitman
Planning & Environmental Coordinator

3/29/2011

Chris Rupp
Outdoor Recreation Planner

3/22/2011

Casey O'Conner
Range Management Specialist

3/29/11

Brenna O'Conner
Park Ranger

3/28/11

Zachi Flans
Cartographic Technician

3/23/2011

Approved by:

Thomas R. Rasmussen
Field Manager

3/29/11

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1619.3-2.
FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No __________ (go to Form 2)  Yes ______X____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

   a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

   b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Whisky Lake/1-62

   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>48,560</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table):
   The previous inventory found that the unit met the minimum size criteria and that it was in natural condition. While evaluating solitude it was decided that the unit was of sufficient size that it could contain isolated areas which would offer some degree of solitude. However, one would not be able to avoid the presence of others in the broad open expanses which dominate the unit. Recreation opportunities were identified as hunting and wildlife observation, but due to the lack of potable water within the unit and the distances of repetitive rolling terrain without any attractive features, outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation were not present.
FORM 2

DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

a. Unit Number/Name OR-015-063/Whiskey Lake East

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size? Yes _X_ No ________

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p.72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Addition is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.
Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the Whiskey Lake East unit. This 29,537 acre unit is bounded by BLM Road 6104-00 (Sheep Rock) on the north and east, Road 6184-00 (Alkali Lake) on the south, Road 6104-B0 (Dog Lake) on the northwest, and two unnumbered roads (which run from 6104-B0 to 6184-00) on the west.

Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

**DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS:** This unit is about 7 miles long and 3 miles wide and is located approximately fifteen miles northeast of Paisley. The unit does not contain any private or other jurisdiction inholdings. The topography has not changed since the original inventory and still can be described as a broad sage area of low rolling contours with some minor north-to-south trending rims. Several ephemeral lake basins are present in the unit. The area is very open with a large field of view from almost everywhere within the unit.

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?**  Yes  _X_  No  ______  N/A  ______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was generally natural and affected primarily by the forces of nature. However, the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, which must be evaluated individually.

The unit boundaries have changes since the original inventory. The current OR-015-063 unit is roughly the eastern half of the historic 1-62 unit. Within this smaller unit there are 11 constructed waterholes. There are roughly eight miles of open, primitive motorized routes within the unit along with another eight miles of reclaiming routes. Additionally four miles of pasture division fence is present within the unit. These man-made developments are spread across the unit and substantially noticeable within close distances (up to a quarter mile) and less noticeable from farther distances. However, the overall natural character within the area has changed little since the original inventory.

Based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition where the imprint of man is substantially unnoticeable.

(3) **Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?**  Yes  ______  No  _X_  ______  N/A  ______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for solitude (page 69). However, after review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is
comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and must be evaluated individually.

Currently, this inventory unit is smaller than the historic unit (1-62), and its shape is long and narrow. The landscape is still relatively open and lacks tall vegetative or topographic screening (Map 3). One would still not be able to avoid the presence of others across the flat expanse of the unit.

The most common visitors to the unit include livestock permitees, BLM staff, hunters, and other recreationists. The continued presence of boundary roads and internal motorized routes (Map 2) provides motorized access to the perimeter and portions of the interior of the unit. The area is currently open to off-highway vehicle use (see Map R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD) and is managed to provide motorized access. The motorized access increases the likelihood of encountering other people visiting the unit. The noise associated with motorized activity may further diminish the solitude experience for those visitors attempting to get away from others.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the opportunities for solitude within this unit are lower than those found in 1980 and the unit still does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude.

(4) Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?
Yes ________  No ______ X____ No _______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger, and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for recreation. ONDA identified hiking, horseback riding, hunting, photography, sight-seeing, and wildlife viewing and recreation opportunities within their larger proposal (page 69). After review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and each must be evaluated individually.

The BLM currently manages the area to provide semi-primitive motorized recreational experiences. Further, the area is open off-highway vehicle use (see Maps R-3 and R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD). The BLM ID Team considered the primitive recreation opportunities identified in 1980 and those identified by ONDA and determined that hunting and wildlife observation continue to be the primary primitive recreation opportunities available. These recreation opportunities are similar to those available on other surrounding BLM lands within the Lakeview Resource Area and are not unique or outstanding. The unit does not provide a single, unique primitive recreational opportunity, nor does it provide a unique diversity of primitive recreation opportunities.
For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that that the primitive and unconfined recreation opportunities within this unit have not changed since 1980. The unit continues to lack an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values?  Yes ______ No ___ N/A ___ X ___

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit does not meet the minimum wilderness criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Whiskey Lake East/OR-015-063

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements?  __X__Yes ___No

2. Does the area appear to be natural?  __X__Yes ____No

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  ____Yes __X__No ___NA

4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___Yes ____No _X__NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

__X__ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
Prepared by: (ID Team Member Names and Titles):

Paul Whitman
Planning & Environmental Coordinator

Chris Hoffman
Outdoor Recreation Planner

Cassidy O'Connor
Range Management Specialist

Brooke O'Connor
Park Ranger

Zach Adams
Cartographic Technician

Approved by:

Thomas R. Rasmussen
Field Manager

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1619.5-2.
FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

No __________ (go to Form 2) Yes _____X____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Whisky Lake/1-62

c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/ Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>48,560</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table:*
The previous inventory found that the unit met the minimum size criteria and that it was in natural condition. While evaluating solitude it was decided that the unit was of sufficient size that it could contain isolated areas which would offer some degree of solitude. However, one would not be able to avoid the presence of others in the broad expanses which dominate the unit. Recreation opportunities were identified as hunting and wildlife observation, but due to the lack of potable water within the unit and the distances of repetitive rolling terrain without any attractive features, outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation were not present.
FORM 2

DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

a. Unit Number/Name  OR-015-062/Whiskey Lake West

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?  Yes _X_  No __________

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p. 29 72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Addition is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.
Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the Whiskey Lake West unit This 16,457 acre unit is bounded by BLM road 6144-00 (Whiskey Mtn) to the west, the northern boundary is the 6104-B0 (Dog Lake) road. The southern and eastern boundary consist of an approximately 13 mile long unnumbered road which runs from the 6104-B0, south nearly bisecting historic unit 1-62, to its intersection with the 6144-00 road.

Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

**DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS:** The unit is about 6 miles long and 3 miles wide, and is located approximately fifteen miles northeast of Paisley. The unit does not contain any private or other jurisdiction inholdings. The topography has not changed since the original inventory and still can be described as a broad sage area of low rolling contours with some minor north-to-south trending rims. Several ephemeral lake basins are present in the unit. The country is very open with a large field of view from almost everywhere within the unit.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?  Yes _X_ No ______ N/A_______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was generally natural and affected primarily by the forces of nature. However, the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, which must be evaluated individually.

The unit boundaries have changes since the original inventory. The current OR-015-062 unit is roughly the western half of the historic 1-62 unit. Within this new smaller unit there are 11 constructed waterholes, one well, and one guzzler. There are roughly eight miles of open, primitive motorized routes within the unit along with another three miles of reclamation routes and 1.5 miles of motorized trails. Additionally three miles of pasture division fence is present within the unit. These man-made developments are spread across the unit and substantially noticeable within close distances (up to a quarter mile) and less noticeable from farther distances. However, the overall natural character within the area has changed little since the original inventory.

Based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition where the imprint of man is substantially unnoticeable.

(3) Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?  Yes _________ No ____X_____ N/A_______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find...
outstanding opportunities for solitude (page 69). However, after intensive review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and must be evaluated individually.

Currently, this inventory unit is smaller than the historic unit (1-62), and its shape is long and narrow. The landscape is still relatively open and lacks tall vegetative or topographic screening (Map 3). One would still not be able to avoid the presence of others across the flat expanse of the unit.

The most common visitors to the unit include livestock permitees, BLM staff, hunters, and other recreationists. The continued presence of boundary roads and internal motorized routes (Map 2) provides motorized access to the perimeter and portions of the interior of the unit. The area is currently open to off-highway vehicle use (see Map R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD) and is managed to provide motorized access. The motorized access increases the likelihood of encountering other people visiting the unit. The noise associated with motorized activity may further diminish the solitude experience for those visitors attempting to get away from others.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the opportunities for solitude within this unit are lower than those found in 1980 and the unit still does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude.

(4) **Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger, and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for recreation. ONDA identified hiking, horseback riding, hunting, photography, sight-seeing, and wildlife viewing and recreation opportunities within their larger proposal (page 69). After review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and each must be evaluated individually.

The BLM currently manages the area to provide semi-primitive motorized recreational experiences. Further, the area is open off-highway vehicle use (see Maps R-3 and R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD). The BLM ID Team considered the primitive recreation opportunities identified in 1980 and those identified by ONDA and determined that hunting and wildlife observation continue to be the primary primitive recreation opportunities available. These recreation opportunities are similar to those available on other surrounding BLM lands within the Lakeview Resource Area and are not unique or
outstanding. The unit does not provide a single, unique primitive recreational opportunity, nor does it provide a unique diversity of primitive recreation opportunities.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that that the primitive and unconfined recreation opportunities within this unit have not changed since 1980. The unit continues to lack an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes _____ No _____ N/A _____ X _____

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit does not meet the minimum wilderness criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Whiskey Lake West/OR-015-062

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements?  __X__Yes ___No

2. Does the area appear to be natural?  __X__Yes ____No

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  ____Yes __X__No ___NA

4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___Yes ____No _X_NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

__X__ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1619.5-2.
FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No ________ (go to Form 2)   Yes ________X____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

   a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

   b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): ___ Whiskey Mtn/1-59

   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/ Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table):
   The previous inventory found that the unit met the minimum size criteria and was in a natural condition. The relief of the unit consists of one fault block ridge with a steep escarpment on the east and a low-angle slope on the west. One small canyon cuts into the ridge near the center of the unit. There were several dry lakebeds along the valley bottom on the western perimeter, with low sage being the predominate vegetation. A majority of the unit is a highly exposed slope and elongated in shape, no wider than 1.5 miles. This combined with a lack of vegetative screening would make it difficult to be isolated from others within the unit. Additionally the narrow shape and overall small size of the unit precludes any outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.
FORM 2

DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

a. Unit Number/Name________OR-015-059/Whiskey Mtn________

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size? Yes __X__ No ______

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p.72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Addition is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.
Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the Whiskey Mountain unit. This 7,772 acre unit is bounded by BLM road 6144-00 (Whiskey Mtn.) to the east. The northwest boundary is the 6144-A0 (Diablo) road. The southwest boundary is an unnumbered road which runs approximately seven miles from the south end of the 6144-A0 to its intersection with the 6144-00 at the south end of the unit.

Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

**DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS:** This unit is about 6 miles long and two miles wide and is located approximately fifteen miles northeast of Paisley. The unit does not contain any private or other jurisdiction inholdings. The topography has not changed since the original inventory and still can be described as containing one fault uplift with the shallow slope facing west with a low ridge to the east. A narrow cut through the rim forms a small canyon in the center of the unit approximately one mile long. There are several small dry lakebeds along the west boundary and the predominant vegetation is low growing big sagebrush.

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?** Yes [X] No ______ N/A_______

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East unit was generally natural and affected primarily by the forces of nature. After intensive review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East unit is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, which must be evaluated individually.

Currently there are three constructed waterholes and one well within the unit, all located along the north and west edges of the unit. There is roughly one mile of reclaiming routes and another .3 miles of open, primitive, motorized routes within the unit.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition. These man-made developments are spread across the unit and substantially noticeable within close distances (up to a quarter mile) and less noticeable from farther distances. However, the overall natural character within the area has changed little since the original inventory.

Based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition where the imprint of man is substantially unnoticeable.

(3) **Does the unit** (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) **have outstanding opportunities for solitude?** Yes _______ No [X]______ N/A_______
ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for solitude (page 69). However, after review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and must be evaluated individually.

The unit is a broad flat slope tilted downward to the west, and its shape is long and narrow. The landscape is still relatively open and lacks tall vegetative or topographic screening (Map 3). One would still not be able to avoid the presence of others across the flat expanse of the unit.

The most common visitors to the unit include livestock permitees, BLM staff, hunters, and other recreationists. The continued presence of boundary roads and internal motorized routes (Map 2) provides motorized access to the perimeter and portions of the interior of the unit. The area is currently open to off-highway vehicle use (see Map R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD) and is managed to provide motorized access. The motorized access increases the likelihood of encountering other people visiting the unit. The noise associated with motorized activity may further diminish the solitude experience for those visitors attempting to get away from others.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the opportunities for solitude within this unit are lower than those found in 1980 and the unit still does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude.

**4. Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?**

Yes [ ] No [x] N/A [ ]

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger, and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for recreation. ONDA identified hiking, horseback riding, hunting, photography, sightseeing, and wildlife viewing and recreation opportunities within their larger proposal (page 69). After review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and each must be evaluated individually.

The BLM currently manages the area to provide semi-primitive motorized recreational experiences. Further, the area is open off-highway vehicle use (see Maps R-3 and R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD). The BLM ID Team considered the primitive recreation opportunities identified in 1980 and those identified by ONDA and determined that hunting and wildlife observation continue to be the primary primitive recreation
opportunities available. These recreation opportunities are similar to those available on other surrounding BLM lands within the Lakeview Resource Area and are not unique or outstanding. The unit does not provide a single, unique primitive recreational opportunity, nor does it provide a unique diversity of primitive recreation opportunities.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that the primitive and unconfined recreation opportunities within this unit have not changed since 1980. The unit continues to lack an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes _____ No ____ N/A ___ X ___

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit does not meet the minimum wilderness criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Whiskey Mtn/OR-015-059

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements?  _X_ Yes ___No
2. Does the area appear to be natural?  _X_ Yes ___No
3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  ____Yes _X_ No ___NA
4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___Yes ____No _X_ NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

___X___ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
Prepared by (ID Team Member Names and Titles):

Paul Whitman
Planning & Environmental Coordinator

Chris Bishop
Outoor Recreation Planner

Casey O'Connor
Range Management Specialist

Brionna O'Connor
Park Ranger

Zach Adams
Cartographic Technician

Approved by:

Thomas R. Rasmussen
Field Manager

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.
FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No __________ (go to Form 2)  Yes ____X____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

   a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

   b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): ___Whiskey Mtn/1-59________

   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table:

   The previous inventory found that the unit met the minimum size criteria and was in a natural condition. The relief of the unit consists of one fault block ridge with a steep escarpment on the east and a low-angle slope on the west. One small canyon cuts into the ridge near the center of the unit. There were several dry lakebeds along the valley bottom on the western perimeter, with low sage being the predominate vegetation. A majority of the unit is a highly exposed slope and elongated in shape, no wider than 1.5 miles. This combined with a lack of vegetative screening would make it difficult to be isolated from others within the unit. Additionally the narrow shape and overall small size of the unit precludes any outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation.
DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

a. Unit Number/Name OR-015-059/Whiskey Mtn

   (1) Is the unit of sufficient size? Yes __X__ No ________

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p.72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Addition is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.
Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the Whiskey Mountain unit. This 7,772 acre unit is bounded by BLM road 6144-00 (Whiskey Mtn.) to the east. The northwest boundary is the 6144-A0 (Diablo) road. The southwest boundary is an unnumbered road which runs approximately seven miles from the south end of the 6144-A0 to its intersection with the 6144-00 at the south end of the unit.

Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS: This unit is about 6 miles long and two miles wide and is located approximately fifteen miles northeast of Paisley. The unit does not contain any private or other jurisdiction inholdings. The topography has not changed since the original inventory and still can be described as containing one fault uplift with the shallow slope facing west with a low ridge to the east. A narrow cut through the rim forms a small canyon in the center of the unit approximately one mile long. There are several small dry lakebeds along the west boundary and the predominant vegetation is low growing big sagebrush.

(2) Is the unit in a natural condition?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

ONGA’s 2005 inventory concluded that their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East unit was generally natural and affected primarily by the forces of nature. After intensive review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East unit is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, which must be evaluated individually.

Currently there are three constructed waterholes and one well within the unit, all located along the north and west edges of the unit. There is roughly one mile of reclaiming routes and another .3 miles of open, primitive, motorized routes within the unit.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition. These man-made developments are spread across the unit and substantially noticeable within close distances (up to a quarter mile) and less noticeable from farther distances. However, the overall natural character within the area has changed little since the original inventory.

Based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the unit was still in a natural condition where the imprint of man is substantially unnoticeable.

(3) Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for solitude?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for solitude (page 69). However, after review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and must be evaluated individually.

The unit is a broad flat slope tilted downward to the west, and its shape is long and narrow. The landscape is still relatively open and lacks tall vegetative or topographic screening (Map 3). One would still not be able to avoid the presence of others across the flat expanse of the unit.

The most common visitors to the unit include livestock permitees, BLM staff, hunters, and other recreationists. The continued presence of boundary roads and internal motorized routes (Map 2) provides motorized access to the perimeter and portions of the interior of the unit. The area is currently open to off-highway vehicle use (see Map R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD) and is managed to provide motorized access. The motorized access increases the likelihood of encountering other people visiting the unit. The noise associated with motorized activity may further diminish the solitude experience for those visitors attempting to get away from others.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the BLM ID team concluded that the opportunities for solitude within this unit are lower than those found in 1980 and the unit still does not have an outstanding opportunity for solitude.

(4) Does the unit (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?  
Yes _________  No __X__  N/A________

ONDA’s 2005 inventory concluded that since their proposed 103,460 acre Diablo East area was larger, and contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA, one could easily find outstanding opportunities for recreation. ONDA identified hiking, horseback riding, hunting, photography, sight-seeing, and wildlife viewing and recreation opportunities within their larger proposal (page 69). After review the BLM determined that the proposed Diablo East area is not one large roadless area, but is comprised of several smaller inventory units, none of which are contiguous with the Diablo Mountain WSA and each must be evaluated individually.

The BLM currently manages the area to provide semi-primitive motorized recreational experiences. Further, the area is open off-highway vehicle use (see Maps R-3 and R-7 of Lakeview RMP/ROD). The BLM ID Team considered the primitive recreation opportunities identified in 1980 and those identified by ONDA and determined that hunting and wildlife observation continue to be the primary primitive recreation
opportunities available. These recreation opportunities are similar to those available on other surrounding BLM lands within the Lakeview Resource Area and are not unique or outstanding. The unit does not provide a single, unique primitive recreational opportunity, nor does it provide a unique diversity of primitive recreation opportunities.

For the reasons specified above and based on a review of all the available information including (BLM and citizen provided) photos, staff knowledge, and field review, the ID team concluded that the primitive and unconfined recreation opportunities within this unit have not changed since 1980. The unit continues to lack an outstanding opportunity for primitive and unconfined recreation.

(5) Does the unit have supplemental values? Yes _____ No ____ N/A ___ X ___

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit does not meet the minimum wilderness criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number: Whiskey Mtn/OR-015-059

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements?  ___X__Yes ___No

2. Does the area appear to be natural?  ___X__Yes ___No

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation?  ___Yes ___X__No ___NA

4. Does the area have supplemental values?  ___Yes ___No ___X__NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

___X___ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
Prepared by (ID Team Member Names and Titles):

Paul Whitman
Planning & Environmental Coordinator
3/21/2011

Chris Biscoe
Outdoor Recreation Planner
3/22/2011

Casey O'Connor
Range Management Specialist
3/28/11

Brendan O'Connor
Park Ranger
3/28/11

Zach Adams
Cartographic Technician
3/22/2011

Approved by:

Thomas R. Rasmussen
Field Manager
3/29/11

This form documents information that constitutes an inventory finding on wilderness characteristics. It does not represent a formal land use allocation or a final agency decision subject to administrative remedies under either 43 CFR parts 4 or 1610.5-2.
Year: 2010  Inventory Unit Number/Name: Diablo East Small Unnumbered units

FORM 1

DOCUMENTATION OF BLM WILDERNESS INVENTORY FINDINGS ON RECORD

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?

   No __________ (go to Form 2)   Yes _____X_____ (if more than one unit is within the area, list the names/numbers of those units.)

   a) Inventory Source: Wilderness Inventory: Oregon and Washington Final Intensive Inventory Decisions, November 1980

   b) Inventory Unit Name(s)/Number(s): Coffee Lake/1-60, Whiskey Mountain/1-59, Whiskey Lake/1-62

   c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): U.S. Department of the Interior: Bureau of Land Management Oregon: Intensive Wilderness Inventory Final Decisions November, 1980

   d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): Lakeview District/Lakeview Resource Area

2. BLM Inventory Findings on Record

   Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory unit is associated with the area, list each unit/acreage and answer each question individually for each inventory unit):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit#/Name</th>
<th>Size (historic acres)</th>
<th>Natural Condition? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Solitude? Y/N</th>
<th>Outstanding Primitive &amp; Unconfined Recreation? Y/N</th>
<th>Supplemental Values? Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Summarize any known primary reasons for prior inventory findings listed in this table): These small units did not meet the minimum size criteria during the previous inventory.
FORM 2

DOCUMENTATION OF CURRENT WILDERNESS INVENTORY CONDITIONS

a. Unit Number/Name: 
Small Unnumbered Units

(1) Is the unit of sufficient size?   Yes  ___   No  ____ X___

Citizen Information Received: In 2005, the BLM received a citizen proposal from the Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) for the 103,460 acre Diablo East Proposed Wilderness Study Area (WSA) Addition. ONDA included in their information a narrative report, maps, photos, photo and route logs, and GIS data with their route and photo point data; All of these materials were considered during the BLM’s wilderness inventory process for this area. The photos submitted by ONDA were taken in July of 2004 and June of 2005. They identified the area as having no interior routes which met the BLM wilderness inventory definition of a road (see Map, p.72 of ONDA 2005).

Boundary Determination and Consideration of Citizen Information: In the summer of 2008, 2009, and 2010, members of the BLM staff conducted a field inventory of the area to update its road and wilderness inventory for this area and to gather additional information to supplement ONDA’s wilderness information. This field work included photo documentation of the boundary and interior routes and a re-evaluation of wilderness characteristics for this area. Using both ONDA and BLM photos, field logs, and staff field knowledge, a BLM inter-disciplinary (ID) team completed route analyses on the main routes within the area in 2010.

The results of the route analysis are documented in the road analysis forms and ID team meeting notes contained in the wilderness evaluation file. The BLM ID team determined that BLM Roads 6104-00, 6104-B0, 6124-00, 6144-00, 6144-A0, 6184-00, and several unnumbered routes all meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road. Several of the routes ONDA identified as “ways” are, in fact, boundary roads. For this reason, the BLM found the Diablo East Proposed WSA Additiona is not one large roadless unit, but is comprised of five smaller units (Map 2) that are fairly similar to inventory units identified during the previous inventory.

It is also important to note that since the BLM found that BLM Roads 6144-00 and 6144-A0 on the west side of the Diablo East proposal meet the wilderness inventory definition of a boundary road, the potential wilderness characteristics within all of the roadless units which the BLM identified must be evaluated separate from, rather than contiguous with, the wilderness values associated with the existing Diablo Mountain WSA. That is, they must stand on their own merits rather than be added to the wilderness values of the existing adjacent WSA.
Four of the inventory units the BLM identified met the minimum size criteria; one did not. This evaluation focuses on the unnumbered unit that is bounded by BLM Road 6144-00 (Whiskey Mountain), Road 6184-00 (Alkali Lake), Road 6124-00 (Sand Hollow), and two unnumbered roads which make up the northeast and northern boundary. This unit is less than 5,000 acres in size. Based on this determination there was no need for the BLM ID team to evaluate the unit further.

Additional background on the process that the BLM ID team followed during this evaluation is contained in the document *Wilderness Inventory Maintenance Process for the Lakeview Resource Area, BLM* contained in the wilderness inventory file.

**DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS:**

This unit is long and narrow in shape and is located about 10 miles northeast of Paisley, along the eastern boundary of the Diablo Mountain WSA (Map 1 and 2).

(2) **Is the unit in a natural condition?** Yes _____ No ______ N/A__X____

Naturalness was not evaluated as the unit did not meet the minimum size criteria.

(3) **Does the unit** (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) **have outstanding opportunities for solitude?** Yes _______ No _______ N/A____X____

Solitude opportunities were not evaluated as the unit did not meet the minimum size criteria.

(4) **Does the unit** (or the remainder of the unit if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) **have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?**

Yes _______ No _______ N/A____X____

Recreation opportunities were not evaluated as the unit did not meet the minimum size criteria.

(5) **Does the unit have supplemental values?** Yes _____ No ____ N/A______

Supplemental values were not evaluated as the unit did not meet the minimum size criteria.
Summary of Findings and Conclusion

Unit Name and Number Small Unnumbered Units

Summary Results of Analysis:

1. Does the area meet the size requirements? ___Yes __X__No

2. Does the area appear to be natural? ___Yes ____No _X__NA

3. Does the area offer outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of recreation? ___Yes ____No _X__NA

4. Does the area have supplemental values? ___Yes ____No _X__NA

Conclusion (Check One):

_____ The area- or a portion of the area- has wilderness character (items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “yes”).

____X__ The area does not have wilderness character (any of items 1, 2 and 3 are checked “no”).
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