

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
EUGENE DISTRICT OFFICE

DECISION RECORD
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

Background: Lane County, Oregon, has applied for a Right-of-Way Grant issued pursuant to 43 CFR 2800. The County is proposing to replace the existing bridge over Row River on County Road No. 2400 on BLM lands located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 05, T. 22 S., R. 1 W., W.M.. Bridge replacement is necessary due to deteriorating substructure components and obsolete super-structural strength. In addition to replacement of the existing bridge, a re-alignment of the curvature of the bridge will be incorporated into the new design for safety reasons. The permit will grant the County a perpetual right-of-way to facilitate the new bridge alignment.

Proposed Action: The proposed action is to issue to Lane County a Right-of-Way Grant to facilitate replacement of the bridge across Row River pursuant to the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761), subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801 and those additional stipulations in the attached Exhibit B. The proposed area consists of approximately 0.15 acres of public land. No bonding should be required.

Decision: It is my decision to issue a Right-of-Way Grant approximately 0.15 acres in area, for the facilitation of the replacement of the Row River Bridge, to Lane County, Oregon, for a perpetual period of time, on the following public land:

T. 22 S., R.1 W., W.M.
Sec. 05: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

The grant is made under the authority of Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761) and subject to the terms and conditions in 43 CFR 2801. The grant shall also be subject to the stipulations in the attached Exhibit B.

Rationale: The proposed action meets the criteria for the categorical exclusion in 516 DM 11, (Acquisition of easements for an existing road or issuance of leases, permits, or rights-of-way for the use of existing facilities, improvements, or sites for the same or similar purposes.), and none of the "extraordinary circumstances" in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Further, the action is in conformance with the "Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl" (April 1994), and the "Eugene District Record of Decision and Resource Management Plan" (June 1995) as amended.

Prepared By: Cheryl Adcock
REALTY SPECIALIST

Date: May 23, 2006

Reviewed By: Christie Hardenbrook
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR

Date: July 17, 2006

Approved By: Emily Rice
FIELD MANAGER U.W. R.A.

Date: July 17, 2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
EUGENE DISTRICT

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW
Extraordinary Circumstances Checklist

Proposed Action: See preceding page.

Review the proposed action against each of the 12 "extraordinary circumstances" listed below. Any action that is normally categorically excluded must be subjected to sufficient environmental review to determine whether it meets any of the extraordinary circumstances, in which case, further analysis and environmental documents must be prepared for the action. If the criterion does not apply, indicate "Not Applicable." Any mitigation measures (such as contract stipulations or terms and conditions on permits) necessary to ensure that the proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion should be identified at the bottom of the page.

	<u>Extraordinary Circumstances</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1.	Have significant adverse effects on public health or safety	
2.	Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (EO 11990); floodplains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	
3.	Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA, Sec. 102(2)(E)]	
4.	Have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.	
5.	Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	
6.	Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	
7.	Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or office.	
8.	Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.	
9.	Violate a Federal law, or a State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	
10.	Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (EO 12898).	
11.	Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007).	
12.	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and EO 13112).	

Mitigation measures needed to qualify as CE: