

**Table No. 1 – Is My Plan of Operations or Plan Modification Subject to the Mill Site Limitation?**

| <b>Plans of Operations</b>   | <b>Is the plan of operations subject to the mill site limitation?</b>   | <b>Modifications</b>   | <b>Is the modification subject to the mill site limitation?</b>   |
|--|---|--|---|
| Plan of operations submitted before Nov. 7, 1997, and no lode claims relocated as mill sites   | No  | Modification submitted before Nov. 7, 1997, and no lode claims relocated as mill sites   | No  |
| Plan of operations submitted before Nov. 7, 1997, and lode claims relocated as mill sites after Nov. 7, 1997, but before plan approved | Yes, the mill site limitation applies to the new mill sites. You must take into account the grandfathered mill sites to determine if the newly-located mill sites exceed the mill site limitation. If they do, see IM WO 98-154 | Modification submitted before Nov. 7, 1997, and lode claims relocated as mill sites after Nov. 7, 1997, but before modification approved | Yes, the mill site limitation applies to the new mill sites. You must take into account the grandfathered mill sites to determine if the newly-located mill sites exceed the mill site limitation. If they do, see IM WO 98-154 |
| Plan of operations approved before Nov. 29, 1999   | No  | Modification approved before Nov. 29, 1999   | No  |
| Plan of operations submitted after Nov. 7, 1997, and not approved before Nov. 29, 1999   | Yes, the mill site limitation applies, see IM WO 98-154   | Modification submitted after Nov. 7, 1997, and not approved before Nov. 29, 1999   | Yes<br>(go to Table No. 2)  |

**Table No. 2 – When Does the Mill Site Limitation Affect a Proposed Modification Submitted After November 7, 1997, and Not Approved Before November 29, 1999?**

| If the proposed modification . . .  | Then . . .  |
|---|---|
| Does not change the footprint of the original approved plan and no lode claims within the footprint have been relocated as mill sites | The mill site limitation will not affect the proposed modification.               |
| Does not change the footprint of the original approved plan but lode claims within the footprint have been relocated as mill sites    | The mill site limitation affects the newly-located mill sites, see IM WO 98-154   |
| Changes the footprint by deleting claims or mill sites  | The mill site limitation will not affect the proposed modification.               |
| Changes the footprint by adding claims only   | The mill site limitation will not affect the proposed modification.               |
| Changes the footprint by adding mill sites only   | The mill site limitation may affect the proposed modification (go to Table No. 3) |
| Changes the footprint by adding both claims and mill sites  | The mill site limitation may affect the proposed modification (go to Table No. 3) |

**Table No. 3 – How Will the Mill Site Limitation Affect the Proposed Modification?**

| (1) Would the grand-fathered footprint exceed the mill site limitation on its own? | (2) Would the proposed modification add new acreage outside the grand-fathered footprint? | (3) Would the proposed modification also decrease the amount of acreage within the grand-fathered footprint? | (4) Would the mill site limitation apply to the proposed modification as described in IM WO 98-154?  |
|--|---|--|--|
| No   | Yes – adds only mill sites  | No   | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would <u>not</u> exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p>   |
|  |   | Yes – drops claims, mill sites or both   | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would <u>not</u> exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p>   |
|  | Yes – adds both   | No   | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would <u>not</u> exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> |
|  |   | Yes – drops claims, mill sites or both   | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would <u>not</u> exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> |

| <b>(1) Would the grand-fathered footprint exceed the mill site limitation on its own?</b> | <b>(2) Would the proposed modification add new acreage outside the grand-fathered footprint?</b> | <b>(3) Would the proposed modification also decrease the amount of acreage within the grand-fathered footprint?</b> | <b>(4) Would the mill site limitation apply to the proposed modification as described in IM WO 98-154?</b>  |
|---|--|---|---|
| Yes   | Yes – adds only mill sites   | No  | Yes   |
|   |  | Yes – drops claims  | Yes   |
|   |  | Yes – drops mill sites or both  | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would <u>not</u> exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint</p>  |
|   | Yes – adds both  | No  | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would not exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> |
|   | Yes – drops claims, mill sites or both   | Yes – drops claims, mill sites or both  | <p>No, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would not exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>or</b></p> <p>Yes, if the mill site acreage added in (2) plus the mill site acreage in the grandfathered footprint would exceed the mill site limitation when compared with the claims in the grandfathered footprint plus the new claims added in (2)</p> |
|   |  |   |   |