

PARTNERS OUTDOORS X EVALUATION

NUMBER OF RESPONSES – 26

Question 1 – How well did Partners Outdoors X meet your expectations?

Well 88% Moderately 12% Poorly 0%

Comments/Explanations

- “First time attendee – excellent group and energy.”
- “I thought this was an outstanding conference.”
- “Last year seemed poorly attended by the private sector; there seemed to be an increase there this year.”
- “Liked Florida better. Reno is expensive and difficult to get to.”
- “Improved enthusiasm, private industry input over last year.”
- “I expected to be recharged and re-energized with new ideas and initiatives – I was not disappointed!”
- “Excellent partnering opportunity – had no idea this event was occurring until this year.”
- “Much better program this year – good work session topics.”
- “Excellent learning/sharing environment. Appreciate ARC’s commitment to make government work better.”
- “Garnering critical info on agency policies and industry reactions.”

Question 2 – Please rate the following aspects of Partners Outdoors X:

EVENT	EXCEL.	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Pre-Trip to Silver Saddle Ranch	100%	0%	0%	0%
Opening Reception	35%	65%	0%	0%
Remarks by Forest Service COO Phil Janik	21%	79%	5%	0%
Recreation Trends Session	54%	46%	0%	0%
New Administration/Congress/ Opportunities	52%	38%	10%	0%

Outside Las Vegas Partnership Showcase	55%	27%	18%	0%
LWCF/UPARR Briefing	4%	75%	21%	0%
Action Team Topic Briefings	16%	60%	20%	4%
Individual Action Team Meetings	38%	42%	12%	8%
EVENT	EXCEL.	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Reception/Dinner	56%	44%	0%	0%
National Forest Roads Briefing	26%	61%	13%	0%
Action Team Presentations and Discussion	29%	50%	21%	0%
Winter Olympics Partnership Showcase	64%	27%	9%	0%
Health/Education/Youth Concerns Session	39%	44%	17%	0%
Luncheon Presentation by NPS	38%	41%	17%	4%
Sustainability/Access/Common Ground Session	25%	75%	0%	0%
Post-Trip to Heavenly Ski Area/Lake Tahoe Basin	50%	33%	17%	0%

Meeting Location (Reno)	8%	48%	35%	9%
Meeting Site (Flamingo Reno)	0%	48%	22%	30%
Mix of Participants	52%	35%	13%	0%
Topics	59%	36%	0%	5%
Meeting Dates	39%	61%	0%	0%

The most useful portion of the program was:

“Networking”

“The interaction with participants and sharing of ideas.”

“Insights on what to expect from the new administration.”

“Break out/Team sessions, as always.”

“Presentations of successes and new efforts to create successes.”

The least useful portion of the program was:

“Not enough networking time; Action Team exercise is losing its effectiveness.”
Several people indicated that some topics have been repeated year after year
and are no longer useful.

Question 3 – Do you have any suggestions for improving the meeting?

“How about some specifics for the water agencies?”
“Outreach to include more representation from the private, non-association sector”
“Longer breaks or trip within workshop which would give participants more time
to interact.”
“Get the states involved.”
“Some pre-conference packets on the Action Team topics would help me to be
better prepared for the meeting, especially as a first time participant.”
“More outside opportunities, activities.”

***Question 4 – Do you have any other recommendations for furthering the objectives of
Partners Outdoors?***

“Keep plowing forward on your present course – great progress is being made.”
“Moving the meeting certainly expanded the participants – good idea.”
“Continue to facilitate public/private communication.”
“Keep quality of meeting location high!”
“Keep trying to get more private players involved who want to work on
partnership.”
“Keep up the good work.”
“A real effort to follow through with briefing and actions items.”

02/13/01